



Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system

N. Krupp

Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung Max-Planck-Str. 2, 37191 Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany

new address from 2014 on: Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 3, 37077 Göttingen, Germany





A. Introduction

- B. Energetic particles from the Sun
- C. Energetic particles in interplanetary space
- D. Particles from Interstellar space
- E. Energetic particles in planetary magnetospheres





A. Introduction

- 1. Energetic particle sources
- 2. Motion of charged particles in a magnetic field
- 3. Characteristic parameters
- 4. Instrumentation to measure energetic particles



A.1 Sources of energetic particles



Heliopause Anomalous Cosmic Rays mination Pickup 100 AU7 lons Interstellar Corotating Neutral Ion Events planetary magnetospheres Corotating Interaction Interplanertary Region Shock Coronal Particles Mass Galactic Ejection Cosmic Rays Sun Solar Energetic Particles

Energetic particles in the heliosphere can be of

- extra-galactic
- galactic
- solar
- planetary origin and cover an energy range from 1eV to more 10²⁰eV

(eV=electron volt=energy gain of electron in 1 Volt potential)

Particle types

- Electrons
- charged atoms
- charged molecules
- neutral atoms
- neutral molecules
- dust

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparat





Eneregetic particles are a very useful tool to study

- Fundamental physics
- plasma physics
- acceleration mechanisms
- geochemistry and solar system evolution,
- atmospheric composition and evolution
- magnetospheric dynamics...





first adiabatic invariant μ :

$$\mu_B = \frac{p_\perp^2}{2m_0B} = const \text{ with } p_\perp = mvsin\alpha$$

α : pitch angle

angle between the direction of the particle and the magnetic field

second adiabatic invariant J :

$$J = 2 \int_{x_m}^{x_m} p_{||} ds = const \quad (or \ K = \frac{J}{2\sqrt{m_0\mu_B}})$$





A.2: Particle motion Particle drifts



$$\vec{V}_E = \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{B^2}$$

$$\vec{V}_G = \frac{mv_\perp^2}{2qB^3} (\vec{B} \times \nabla B)$$

$$\vec{V}_C = \frac{mv_{\parallel}^2}{qB^3} (\vec{B} \times \nabla B)$$

$$\vec{V}_{\perp} = \vec{V}_E + \vec{V}_G + \vec{V}_C = \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{B^2} + \frac{m}{qB^3} (\frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2} + v_{\parallel}^2) \vec{B} \times \nabla B$$

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system

A.2: Motion of charged particles Pickup process



Illustration of the classical picture of ion pickup in perpendicular electric $E = -V_{sw} X B$ and magnetic B fields. Ions moving on the cycloidal trajectory oscillate between zero and $2V_{sw}$ velocities, while the gyrocenter moves at V_{sw} . The ion in this case is initially at rest.







$$\mathbf{M}^k = \int f(\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{v})^k d^3 \mathbf{v}$$

$$n = \int_{\mathbf{V}} f(\mathbf{v}) d^3 \mathbf{v} = \int d\varphi \int d\vartheta \sin \vartheta \int dv v^2 f(v, \vartheta, \varphi)$$

$$n = 4\pi \int_{\mathbf{V}} f(\mathbf{v}) v^2 dv$$

$$J(E, \Omega, r) = \frac{v^2}{m} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{2E}{m^2} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$$

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system





$$\mathbf{M}^k = \int f(\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{v})^k d^3 \mathbf{v}$$

$$G(E,\varphi,\vartheta) \\ G(E,\varphi,\vartheta)$$
 $J =$

$$I = \frac{c(E,\varphi,\vartheta)}{G(E,\varphi,\vartheta)\tau\Delta E}$$

$$n = \sum_{\varphi} \Delta \varphi \sum_{\vartheta} \Delta \vartheta \sin \vartheta \sum_{E} \frac{c(E, \varphi, \vartheta)}{G(E, \varphi, \vartheta) \tau v(E)}$$

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system





$$n\mathbf{v} = \int_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{v}) d^3 \mathbf{v}$$

$$nv_{x} = \int d\varphi \cos \varphi \int d\vartheta \sin^{2} \vartheta \int dEJ(E, \vartheta, \varphi)$$

$$nv_{y} = \int d\varphi \sin \varphi \int d\vartheta \sin^{2} \vartheta \int dEJ(E, \vartheta, \varphi)$$

$$nv_{z} = \int d\varphi \int d\vartheta \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta \int dEJ(E, \vartheta, \varphi)$$

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system





$$\mathbf{P} = m \int_{\mathbf{V}} (v_i - v_k)(v_i - v_k) d^3 \mathbf{v} = m[\mathbf{M}^2 - nv_i v_k]$$

$$\mathbf{M}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbf{V}} v_i v_k f(\mathbf{v}) d\Omega d\mathbf{v}_i$$

$$P_{xx} = m \int d\varphi \cos^2 \varphi \int d\vartheta \sin^3 \vartheta \int dEv J(v, \vartheta, \varphi) - mv_x^2 n$$

$$P_{yy} = m \int d\varphi \sin^2 \varphi \int d\vartheta \sin^3 \vartheta \int dEv J(v, \vartheta, \varphi) - mv_y^2 n$$

$$P_{zz} = m \int d\varphi \int d\vartheta \sin \vartheta \cos^2 \vartheta \int dEv J(v, \vartheta, \varphi) - mv_z^2 n$$

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system





$$P = \frac{P_{xx} + P_{yy} + P_{zz}}{3},$$
$$T = \frac{P}{2nK} \Rightarrow T[eV] = 3122 \frac{P[nPa]}{n[cm^{-3}]}$$

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system





$$f(v) = C \cdot e^{-\frac{(v-\bar{v})^2}{v_t^2}} \quad C = \frac{n}{(\sqrt{\pi}v_t)^3} \quad v_t = \sqrt{\frac{2E_t}{m}}$$

$$f(E) = n \cdot \left(\frac{m}{\pi 2E_t}\right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{E-2\sqrt{E\bar{E}}+\bar{E}}{E_t}} \quad \bar{E} = \frac{\int_E E \cdot f(E)}{\int_E f(E)}$$

$$\bar{f}(E)[s^3/km^6] = 0.86 \cdot 10^6 n \cdot \left(\frac{m}{E_t}\right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{E-2\sqrt{E\bar{E}}+\bar{E}}{E_t}}$$

$$f(E) = \frac{J(E)m^3}{p^2} = \frac{J(E)m^2}{2E} \qquad f(E) = \frac{m^2}{2G\tau} \frac{c(E)}{\Delta E \cdot E}$$

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system

A.3: Parameters Energetic Particle energy spectra





power law distribution :

$$I = I_o \cdot \left(\frac{E}{E_o}\right)^{-\gamma}$$

slope γ is called spectral index hard spectra: γ small soft spectra: γ large









Channeltrons (CEMs)

Microchannel plates (MCPs)

are sensitive enough to detect electrons, ions and neutral atoms with an entrance energy of a few eV

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system





- Electrostatic spectrometers based on the deflection of particles in macroscopic electric and/or magnetic fields are limited for various reason to particle energies up to several 10 keV
- For energies > 10 keV Interaction with matter (or deflection in 'atomic fields') are used
 - Detector systems in telescope arrangements
 - The mass dependence of the specific energy-loss process is used in combination with different algorithms.
 - Measured quantity: MZ².
 - Time-of-flight technique with solid-state detector systems.
 Measured quantity: MZ², EIM, and E, allowing the separation of mass M and energy E.





3 processes are of importance for energetic particle spectroscopy

- specific energy loss (dE/dx) in matter
- secondary-electron emission (SEE) (mainly import for TOF measurements)
- pulse shape analysis (PHA) based on a waveform analysis of the signal a particle leaves in a SSD (not of great practical importance low resolution)





Energetic particles traveling through matter lose energy continuously by Coulomb interaction with electrons and nuclei in the absorbing material. The amount of energy lost per unit path length is referred to as the electronic and nuclear specific energy loss (dE/dx)e, and (dE/dx)n, respectively. The energy loss due to nuclei collisions becomes significant only for particle energies below a few keV/nucleon, leaving (dE/dx)e in the energy range of interest as the dominant process. For particles with sufficiently large velocities the electronic energy loss is adequately described by the equation

$$-(dE/dx)_{e} = k_{1}(MZ^{2}/E_{0})f(E, k_{2}).$$

Here *M*, *Z* and *Eo* denote the mass, charge and energy of the particle. The parameters k1 and k2 depend only on the target material. The function f(E,k2) varies only slowly with particle energy *E*.



A.4: Instrumentation dE/dx vs. E measurements principle



How to extract mass information from a (dE/dx) and *E* measurement?

The general concept of such an instrument is to arrange two suitable detectors, such as proportional counters or solid-state detectors, in a telescope configuration.

If the thickness ΔX of the front element is chosen to be small compared to the range of the incident particle the energy loss ΔE is approximately equal to $(dE/dx)\Delta X$.

The back detector of the telescope must be thick enough to absorb the entire residual energy E.

The ΔE and *E* signal provided by the telescope can then be used to determine the incident particle energy Eo ($Eo = E + \Delta E$) and to establish mass information by applying an appropriate algorithm or particle identifier function to the signal amplitudes.







- Collimator: (mechanical) device to limit the incoming particle beam to a small spatial opening angle and simultaneously provides a large aperture surface
- Analyzer: filters particles with pre-selected values of the particle parameters out of the beam for further analysis
- Detector: counts particles (eventually with energy determination)
- Electronics: includes power supplies, analog electronics to amplify the detector signal and to transform them for further analysis, DPU (interface to s/c and control unit)



A.4 Instrumentation Electrostatic analyzer ESA





uses an electric field between two curved plates to guide the flight path of a charged particle around a bend to a detector.

The particle orbit through the curved plate analyzer is given by the force balance between the electric field force and the centripetal force. The electric field E exerts a force qE on the particle that causes it to move in a great circle with radius r equal to mv2/qE. particles pass if their energy/charge (E/q) fits. The flux of plasma that enters the instrument is determined by the size of the aperture, A. The size of the detector, the voltage range and the polarity affects the energy and species detected.

A.4 Instrumentation Electrostatic analyzer in space





Scheme of the Helios E1 electron instrument (I2), invented in 1974 by H. Rosenbauer (later director at MPS). The trick: no photo electron could ever make it to the detector!

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



A.4 Instrumentation ESA Plasma composition measurements



The plasma composition is often quite variable and is an important diagnostic for the origin of that plasma. The passage of an interplanetary coronal mass ejection (ICME). The counts as a function of energy per charge are shown for this interval.

> Discovery of singly ionized Helium ions in the driver gas following an interplanetary shock wave by **Helios 1** in January 1977: remnants of cold prominence material.



A.4 Instrumentation Different types of ESAs





Funsten and McComas (AGU Monograph 1998)

On the left is a pair of cylindrical plates. In the middle are spherical plates and on the right is a so-called *top hat* design leading into a pair of spherical plates. The *top hat analyzer* views a full 360 degrees in azimuth with a narrow fan in the orthogonal direction.

On a spinning spacecraft the field of view covers the complete sky. On non-spinning spacecraft the FOV is extended by scanning platforms or electric deflection at sensor entrance.

A.4 Instrumentation Plasma composition measurements with ESA





If ions move at the same velocity (as for example in the solar wind) an electrostatic analyzer is sufficient to: 1. separate ion species determine density, velocity and

temperature of the distribution.

The maximum energy resolution achieved so far in space is 0.14% (Cassini CAPS IBS) sufficient to do isotopic analysis.

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013



A.4 Instrumentation ESA + magnetic deflection Example Mars Express ASPERA-IMA





Combinations of electrostatic and magnetic sector fields can be used for a determination of the mass-per-charge (M/Q) ratio of ions by combining the (E/Q) information from the deflection in an electrostatic analyser with the momentum-per-charge (P/Q) ratio obtained from a gyroradius measurement in a magnetic field.

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013



A.4 Instrumenation TOF-principle



Electrostatic Analyzer Carbon foil Starts (electrons) Ions, neutrals Time-of-Flight Microchannel plates Stops

Major factor limiting M/Q resolution in traditional Time-of-Flight Plasma Instrument: Energy and angle straggling in carbon foils. A simple time of flight analyzer When the ion leaves the analyzer section it passes through a very thin carbon film. This passage knocks out an electron that is captured by a positively charged plate and triggers a start pulse. When the ion reaches a stop plate another pulse is generated and the time between the pulses is the time of flight. The energy loss and angular spreading caused by the passage through the foil degrades the M/Q resolution here.

A.4 Instrumentation Time-of-flight instrument





$$(M/Q) = 2[V_a + (E_0/Q)](d/T)^{-2}$$
$$M = 2(E\alpha)(d/T)^{-2}$$
$$Q = M(M/Q)^{-1}$$
$$E_0 = Q(E_0/Q).$$

parameter α accounts for energy losses in the carbon foil and in the entrance window of the detector, as well as for non-ionising collisions (nuclear defect) in the detector bulk material ESA selects ions according to E0/Q according to deflection voltage Vd exiting ions are post-accelerated by potential drop Va accelerated ions enter into the TOF – E system

• ions enter through a thin Carbon foil

 secondary electrons emitted from the foil and detected by an MCP provide start time

- stop time is provided by secondary electrons emitted from the surface of a Solid State Detector
- SSD measures the residual energy E (based on E Δ E technique, or PHA)

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013



A.4 Instrumenation Bepi Colombo SERENA/MSA





- FOV : 8° x 260° (after closing due to mast)
- angular resolution (max) : 8° x 11.25°
- energy range : 5 eV/q 40 keV/q
- energy steps : 32 or 64 steps (nominal step duration : 3.9 ms)
- energy resolution : 10 %
- analyzer constant : 7.1
- inner deflector radius : 57.9 mm
- outer deflector radius : 61.9 mm
- deflection angle : 110°
- mass range : 1-60 amu
- mass resolution : $m/\Delta m = 40$ for energies < 15 keV/q (nominal) $m/\Delta m = 10$ for energies > 15 keV/q
- time resolution : 3D distribution in 4 s (32 energies)
 - 3D distributions in 8 s (64 energies)

- G-factor : 1.9 x 10⁻³ cm2 sr keV/keV (nominal) 1.9 x 10⁻⁷ cm2 sr keV/keV (for solar wind ions)



Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

A.4 Instrumentation ESA+TOF without C-foil BepiColombo SERENA/PICAM





Ions are reflected by electrostatic mirrors such that an image of the particle distribution is projected onto the MCP. In addition TOF can be measured by switching flow On and Off using an electric gate.

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



A.4: Instrumentation Things to worry about when designing a particle detector



- accommodation on the spacecraft
- limited power available for the instrument
- the mass and volume available
- stabilization of the spacecraft (spinning / non-spinning)
- electrostatic cleanliness of the spacecraft
- telemetry rate to transmit all this information back to Earth
- knowledge about plasma environment to be encountered.. Will there be a cold beam like the solar wind or a hot plasma such as the Earth's plasma sheet?
- will there be an intense radiation belt (false counts, decrease the life of the instrument)
- what is the resolution required in time, angle, energy and mass per charge to achieve to meet the scientific objectives?
- what is the range required in energy and density to measure the plasmas encountered





- *step 1*: prevent ions and electrons to enter the instrument
- \rightarrow electric and magnetic deflection systems
- step 2: reduce UV and EUV
- \rightarrow foils, grates
- *step 3*: convert neutral particle into ion
- \rightarrow ionizing foils, grazing incidence on surfaces
- *step 4*: perform spectral, mass analysis
- \rightarrow E + B fields, TOF system, E-PHA
- step 5: perform imaging
- \rightarrow direction-sensitive detection (MCP, SSD)
- conserve velocity and directional information and combine it with a high geometric factor !

Norbert Krupp



the source populations.

exospheric gases

albedo) Sputtering from planetary surfaces Ion neutralization / sputtering on dust particles

Recombination (CMI)



The co-existence of an energetic charged particle population (solar wind, magnetospheric plasma) and a planetary neutral gas leads to interaction, e.g., through charge-exchange:

 $A+(energetic) + P(cold) \Rightarrow A(energetic) + P+(cold)$

Little exchange of momentum \rightarrow conserve velocity

Directional detection of ENAs yields a global image of the interaction and allows to deduce properties of

ENA production mechanism in space plasmas

Charge - exchange reaction with atmospheric /

ENA are not influenced by E- and B-fields; they

travel on straight ballistic path like a photon





A.4 Instrumentation Neutral particle detectors





MIMI/INCA sensor on Cassini measures high-energy neutrals



GAS instrument on Ulysses measured cold neutral gas



Grazing incidence principle on Bepi Colombo


Introduction 3.Characteristic parameters









B. Energetic particles from the Sun

- 1. Coronal mass ejections
- 2. Flares





will follow

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system





C. Energetic particles at shocks

- 1. Acceleration at interplanetary shocks
- 2. Corotating interaction regions (CIR)
- 3. Particles from the heliospheric termination shock
- 4. Acceleration at planetary bow shocks

C. Energetic particles in interplanetry





Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



Energy spectra of heliospheric ion populations

- How are they accelerated?
- What is their composition?
- How do they propagate?
- What are the source spectra?

Energies: 1 keV - 100 MeV

Sources: Mainly shock acceleration at flares/CMEs and CIRs



Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Res. 4, 127, 1984

Gloeckler, Adv. Space.



Structure of the heliosphere





- Basic plasma motions in the restframe of the Sun
- Principal surfaces (wavy lines indicate disturbances)

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system







Fast-mode shock Shape ~ hyperboloid Region between the shock (B) and the magnetopause (A) called *magnetosheath* In regions with *n perp B*1 (D), flow and field nearly laminar In regions with *n parallel B*1 (C), flow and fleld very turbulent → foreshock region with reflected particles (F: ions, E: electrons) and turbulent fields (generated by streaming instabilities)





more to come

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system





D. Energetic particles from interstellar space

- 1. Galactic cosmic rays
- 2. insterstellar neutrals





E. Energetic particles in planetary magnetospheres

- 1. Radiation belts, Synchrotron radio emissions
- 2. Magnetodisc and magnetotail regions
- 3. lobes and polar magnetosphere / aurorae
- 4. Energetic particles measurements as a useful tool to study plasma processes in magnetospheres and moon-magnetosphere interaction



E. Energetic particles at Jupiter (Galileo/EPD)









Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



E.1. Radiation belts Discovery at Earth





SATELLITE, EXPLORE

Explorer 1 (Jan 31, 1958) carried a Geiger Counter to study the latitudinal distribution of lowenergy cosmic ray it failed in that instead it discovered Earth's radiation belts (by saturation of counters) This was confirmed by Sputnik 3 in the same year.



tape recorder read-out from Explorer 3



Jupiter's radiation belts a very harsh environment !!



DIVINE + GIRE JOVIAN RADIATION MODELS



Contour plots of <u>></u>1 MeV electron and <u>></u>10 MeV proton integral fluxes at Jupiter. Coordinate system used is jovi-centric. Models are based on Divine/GIRE models. Meridian is for System III 110° W.



E.1 Saturn's radiation belts



Roussos et al., 2008 Gombosi et al., 2009





E.2 Plasma processes in Jupiter's magnetodisk





Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



E.2 Global plasma flow patterns in Jupiter's equatorial plane (Galileo-EPD results)





$Sun \rightarrow$

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



E.2 Interchange / Injections events at Jupiter Signatures in energetic particles



Possible observation of interchange flux tubes Local enhancement of density. seen by wave and magnetic signatures



Simulation of torus-driven plasma transport in the jovian magnetosphere, Yang et al, JGR, 1994



E.2 Particle Injections in Jupiter's magnetosphere energetic particle signatures (Mauk et al., 1997, 1999)





The behaviors of Jupiter magnetosphere injections were understood by invoking sudden radial injections over confined regions in azimuth followed by slow, dispersive, azimuthal drifts.





Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



E.2 Plasma transport processes in Jupiter's magnetosphere, hot plasma injections



- > 100 analyzed (more in the Galileo data)
- observed between 9-27 RJ with a peak @ 11-12 RJ
- observed @ all LT (with a tendency of more in the nightside ?? → biased by S/C trajectory)
- can be clustered in time (storm-like) with correlated signatures in auroral emissions



Extreme "storm-time" dynamics observed in the vicinity of Europa's orbit

Mauk et al., 1997; 1999, 2000

Auroral manifestation of near-Europa storm dynamics

HST UV aurora

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



E.2 "Substorms" in Jupiter's magnetosphere







-first evidence in Voyager data (Nishida, 1983) -Russell [Adv. Space Res., 2000, 2002] provides evidence for reconnection in the magnetotail. -The enhanced southward field accompanied by Jupiter planetward flows and enhanced northward field accompanied by tailward flow.

-Most of the reconnection events were seen in the dawn sector.

-In accord with the study of Krupp et al. [2001] who saw flow bursts mostly in

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar sector



E.2 Particle bursts in Jupiter's magnetotail Krupp et al., 1998; Woch et al., 1998, Louarn et al., 2001



D

С

B

40.0 3 days Galileo-EPD 5.0x10 Orbit G2 1996, tlay 263-290 periodicity $4.5 \times 10^{\circ}$ 4.0×10 of 2-3 days Sulfur 3.5x10 -60.0 3.0×10 spectral index γ_s 1000 JSE, [R] 2.5x10 10 100 A in particles, MAG, Sulfur 10 particle flux [cm⁻²sr¹s⁻¹(keV/nucl)⁻¹] -80.0 10 plasma waves 10 10-2 -100.0 10 3.0x10 2.8×10 2 6×10 -100.00 -80.00 -80.00 2 4x10 JSE [R] 2 2×10 2.0x10 Proton 1.8×10 spectral index $\gamma_{\rm p}$ ion 1.6×10 intensity 10 Protons 10 cm²sPartic particle flux [cm²sr¹s¹(keV)¹] 10 2 10 10 3.0 3 10 radial anisotropy Protons particle flux [cm ²sr ¹s¹(keV)¹] -2.0 -3.0 12:00 18:99 108.69 02:45 122288 12:02 12398 12382 auroral emissions 1997 DO OY 19 23:18 111.69 time, Loc. Time, JSE 300 time (hours

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



E.2 Reconnection events in the Jovian magnetotail





249 events in Galileo/Mag (Vogt 2010) correlated with 34 in Galileo/EPD particle data (Kronberg 2005, 2007) observed > 30 RJ statistical x-line 60-90 RJ at dawn and 90-120 RJ premidnight 2-3 days periodicity plasmoid signatures observed beyond 1500 RJ with New Horizons S/C



Corotation breakdown Processes in the magnetotail plasma processes near the moons

→ main auroral oval
→ polar emissions
→ moon related emissions



E.4 Plasma processes near the moons



Magnetospheric plasma interacts with lo's atmosphere



Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

E.4 Ganymede's mini-magnetosphere within the huge Jovian magnetosphere





Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system





- a) discovery or identification of unknowns objects (moons, plumes, rings, ring arcs, neutral clouds)
- b) determination of diffusion coefficients
- c) determination of flow velocities
- d) characterization of electric fields
- e) determination of open-closed fieldline boundaries in the auroral region
- f) surface weathering of moons
- g) remote sensing of surfaces
- h) global "imaging" of magnetospheric dynamics with ENA



E4a: discovery of unknown objects Discovery of Europa neutral gas torus





neutral density in the Europa-Torus from changes in the pitch angle distributions of energetic particles: Galileo/EPD results (Lagg et al., 2003)

Direct ENA measurements from Cassini only from far away (Mauk et al. 2003)



E4a: discovery of unknown objects Simulated Europa neutral torus





Expected ENA emissions from the EUROPA torus as viewed from a JUICE orbit (P. Brandt)

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system



E4b: Determination of diffusion coefficients extraction via moon electron microsignatures



van Allen, 1980; Roussos, 2007

Diffusion equation

x: displacement of absorption from the center





$$\tau = 4D_{LL}t_{rk}/R^2. D_{LL}$$

$$D_{LL} = D_o L^n$$

diffusion coefficient

n =10 magnetic fluctuations n=6 electric field potentials

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013







Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system





-50

Krupp et al., 2001

JSE_x [R_J]

0

$$I_{\text{omni}}(E) = I_0 \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-\gamma} \qquad I(E, \vartheta, \varphi) = I_{omni} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{m=n} A_{nm}(E) Y_{nm}(\vartheta, \varphi)$$
$$\ln[I(E, \varphi)] \propto \frac{2v_F}{v_{\text{ion}}} \cos \varphi \qquad Y_{nm}(\vartheta, \varphi) = P_{nm}(\cos \vartheta) \cos(m\varphi) \quad m > 0,$$
$$Y_{nm}(\vartheta, \varphi) = P_{n|m|}(\cos \vartheta) \sin(|m|\varphi) \quad m < 0,$$
$$\mathbf{A_1} = \mathbf{A_F} + \mathbf{A_G} + \dots$$
$$\mathbf{A_G} = \frac{m \cdot v_{\text{ion}}}{q \cdot B^2} \cdot \left(\mathbf{B} \times \frac{\nabla I}{I_{omni}}\right)$$
$$\mathbf{A_F} = \frac{2(\gamma + 1)\mathbf{v_F}}{v_{\text{ion}}} \qquad \mathbf{v_F} = \frac{\mathbf{A_F} \cdot v_{\text{ion}}}{2 \cdot (\gamma + 1)}$$

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system









Nightside: inward displacements Dayside: outward displacements

→ Electric field in the noon-midnight orientation

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

E4e: Determination of open closed fieldline boundary





Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system

E.4 Plasma interaction between Jovian magnetosphere and moons







If the magnetosphere of Jupiter is rigidly corotating, plasma flow speed at Europa's orbit (9.5 Rj) is about 118 km/s. Europa travels about 14 km/s in its orbit, so that charged particles are overtaking the satellite at all times.







Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system




E. Saturn key regions and magnetospheric interactions





Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system

Norbert Krupp

E. Jupiter density, pressure, plasma beta (Mauk et al, 2004)





Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013

Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system











Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013





• will follow

Summer School, Boulder, CO, July 18, 2013 Comparative energetic particle environments in the solar system

Norbert Krupp





Many thanks to:

M. Fränz, J. Woch, T. Wiegelmann, E. Marsch, R. Kallenbach, E. Roussos,

H. Koskinen

for providing material for those lecture.