

MMS  
Signature Controlled Document  
T. Thomas  
Released Version

# MAGNETOSPHERIC MULTISCALE (MMS) PROJECT DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

(CDRL 72b)

August 2010

SwRI<sup>®</sup> Project 10160

Document No. 10160.18-PDMP-01

Contract NNG04EB99C

This document contains technical data that is controlled by the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR 120-130) and is being transferred by authority granted under TA 1741-05. This information may not be transferred to any other foreign person or entity without prior approval of the US State Department.



**SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE<sup>®</sup>**  
Space Science and Engineering Division  
6220 Culebra Road, San Antonio, Texas 78228-0510  
(210) 684-5111 • FAX (210) 647-4325


# MAGNETOSPHERIC MULTISCALE (MMS) PROJECT DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

(CDRL 72b)

SwRI® Project 10160  
Document No. 10160.18-PDMP-01

Contract NNG04EB99C

Prepared by: Lon Riesberg, SOC Science Data Center Lead      30 July 2010

Approved by:       Date: 8/31/10  
Jim Burch, SwRI MMS PI

Approved by:       Date: 8/30/10  
Ron Black, SwRI Project Manager

Approved by:       Date: 8/30/10  
Joerg Gerhardus, SwRI QA

Approved by:       Date: Sept 2010  
Sarah Hodgson, SwRI CM

Space Science and Engineering Division  
Southwest Research Institute  
P. O. Drawer 28510  
6220 Culebra Road  
San Antonio, Texas 78228-0510  
(210) 684-5111

Concurred by: Roy Torbert Date: 25 Aug 2010  
Roy Torbert, UNH FIELDS Lead

Concurred by: Tom Moore Date: 2010/8/25  
Tom Moore, GSFC FPI Lead

Concurred by: Approved Via Email Date: 7 Sept 2010  
Barry Mauk, JHU/APL EPD Lead

Concurred by: Approved Via Email Date: 4 Aug 2010  
Klaus Torkar, Austrian Academy of Sciences, ASPOC Lead

Concurred by: Dave Young Date: 25/08/10  
Dave Young, SwRI HPCA Lead

Concurred by: Approved Via Email Date: 30 Aug 2010  
Chris Pankratz, LASP MMS SOC Lead

~~Concurred by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~Gary Meyers, GSFC MMS Ground Systems Manager~~ 830-10

Space Science and Engineering Division  
Southwest Research Institute  
P. O. Drawer 28510  
6220 Culebra Road  
San Antonio, Texas 78228-0510  
(210) 684-5111

## Hodgson, Sarah

---

**From:** Chris Pankratz [Chris.Pankratz@lasp.colorado.edu]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 03, 2010 8:53 AM  
**To:** Hodgson, Sarah  
**Subject:** Re: Action: 10160.18-PDMP-01 R0 for review and approval

Hi Sarah,

Chris Pankratz  
August 3, 2010  
Document No. 10160.18-PDMP-01  
I approve.

Chris

On Aug 2, 2010, at 2:33 PM, Hodgson, Sarah wrote:

The attached document has had feedback from GSFC incorporated. I have attached redlines to make your review easier. Please provide your approvals at your earliest.

All,  
The above referenced document is attached for your review and approval. For those of you here in Texas, please stop by my office you have completed your review. For those of you not located here, please send your approval via email. Please be sure to include the following information in your email.

- 1) your name
- 2) the date of your approval
- 3) the document number being approved
- 4) the document revision level (if applicable)
- 5) A clear statement of approval

Thanks

*Sarah S Hodgson*  
*CM Technical Specialist*  
*Space Science and Engineering Division [sarah.hodgson@swri.org](mailto:sarah.hodgson@swri.org)*  
*210-522-3180*

"This document contains technical data that is controlled by the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR 120-130) and is being transferred by authority granted under TA 1741-05. This information may not be transferred to any other foreign person or entity without prior approval of the US State Department."  
<10160.18-PDMP-01.pdf><10160.18-PDMP-01 wtc.docx>

## Hodgson, Sarah

---

**From:** Torkar, Klaus [Klaus.Torkar@oeaw.ac.at]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 04, 2010 3:19 AM  
**To:** Hodgson, Sarah  
**Subject:** AW: Action: 10160.18-PDMP-01 R0 for review and approval

Sarah,

I approve the 10160.18-PDMP-01 R0  
Graz, August 4th, 2010

Klaus Torkar  
Space Research Institute  
Austrian Academy of Sciences  
Schmiedlstrasse 6, 8042 Graz, Austria  
Tel: +43-316-4120-531  
Fax: +43-316-4120-590  
[klaus.torkar@oeaw.ac.at](mailto:klaus.torkar@oeaw.ac.at)

---

Von: Hodgson, Sarah [shodgson@swri.edu]  
Gesendet: Montag, 2. August 2010 22:33  
An: Jim Burch; Goldstein, Melvyn L. (GSFC-6730); Karen.N.Halterman@nasa.gov; Ron Black; barbara.giles@nasa.gov; Gerhardus, Joerg; roy.torbert@unh.edu; thomas.e.moore@nasa.gov; Mauk, Barry; Torkar, Klaus; dyoung@swri.edu; Chris Pankratz; Gary.F.Meyers@nasa.gov  
Betreff: Action: 10160.18-PDMP-01 R0 for review and approval

The attached document has had feedback from GSFC incorporated. I have attached redlines to make your review easier. Please provide your approvals at your earliest.

All,  
The above referenced document is attached for your review and approval. For those of you here in Texas, please stop by my office to sign the document once you have completed your review. For those of you not located here, please send your approval via email. Please be sure to include the following information in your email.

- 1) your name
- 2) the date of your approval
- 3) the document number being approved
- 4) the document revision level (if applicable)
- 5) A clear statement of your approval

Thanks

Sarah S Hodgson  
CM Technical Specialist  
Space Science and Engineering Division  
[sarah.hodgson@swri.org](mailto:sarah.hodgson@swri.org)  
210-522-3180

"This document contains technical data that is controlled by the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR 120-130) and is being transferred by authority granted under TA

## Hodgson, Sarah

---

**From:** Mauk, Barry [Barry.Mauk@jhuapl.edu]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 07, 2010 2:36 PM  
**To:** Hodgson, Sarah  
**Cc:** Harvel, Christopher A. (Kit)  
**Subject:** RE: Action: 10160.18-PDMP-01 R0 for review and approval

Dear Sarah,

I, Barry Mauk, on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010, approve the changes in the MMD Project Data Management Plan as documented in 10160.18-PDMP-01.

Regards, Barry

Barry H. Mauk  
The Johns Hopkins University  
Applied Physics Laboratory  
Laurel, Maryland 20723  
240-228-6023  
F: 240-228-0386  
[Barry.Mauk@jhuapl.edu](mailto:Barry.Mauk@jhuapl.edu)

---

**From:** Hodgson, Sarah [mailto:shodgson@swri.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 30, 2010 11:46 AM  
**To:** Mauk, Barry  
**Subject:** PDF-File-Verify-Sender:Action: 10160.18-PDMP-01 R0 for review and approval

*Barry,*  
*I am unable to locate your concurrence of this document. Would you please provide that so I can get this released today...*

*Thanks*  
*Sarah*

*Sarah S Hodgson*  
*CM Technical Specialist*  
*Space Science and Engineering Division*  
*[sarah.hodgson@swri.org](mailto:sarah.hodgson@swri.org)*  
*210-522-3180*

"This document contains technical data that is controlled by the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR 120-130) and is being transferred by authority granted under TA 1741-05. This information may not be transferred to any other foreign person or entity without prior approval of the US State Department."

The attached document has had feedback from GSFC incorporated. I have attached redlines to make your review easier. Please provide your approvals at your earliest.

All,

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1. Purpose and Scope .....	1
1.2. Development, Maintenance, and Management Responsibility .....	1
1.3. Change Control .....	1
1.4. Relevant Documents .....	1
2. MISSION OVERVIEW .....	2
2.1. Mission Objectives.....	2
2.2. Mission Concept.....	2
2.3. Science Instrumentation .....	5
2.4. Orbit Phases and Mission Timeline .....	6
3. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES .....	8
3.1. Overview of Ground System.....	8
3.2. Mission Operations Center (MOC).....	9
3.2.1. <i>Flight Dynamics Operations Area (FDOA)</i> .....	10
3.3. Science Operations Center (SOC).....	10
3.3.1. <i>Payload Operations Center (POC)</i> .....	10
3.3.2. <i>Science Data Center (SDC)</i> .....	11
3.4. Instrument Team Facilities (ITF) .....	11
3.5. Education and Public Outreach (EPO).....	13
3.6. Space-Ground Network.....	13
3.7. Associated Organizations.....	13
4. DATA IDENTIFICATION .....	14
4.1. Data Product File Formats.....	15
4.2. Calibration Data .....	15
4.3. Level-1 Data.....	15
4.4. Quicklook Data .....	17
4.5. Level-2 Data.....	18
4.6. MMS Mission Level Science Products (Level-3) .....	20
4.7. Data Volume .....	21
5. DATA HANDLING .....	21
5.1. Overview of End-to-End Data Flow .....	21
5.2. Data Acquisition.....	23
5.3. MOC ⇔ POC Data Transfers .....	24
5.4. POC ⇔ Instrument Team Transfers .....	25
5.5. SDC ⇔ Instrument Team Transfers .....	25
5.6. Science Data Production .....	26
5.6.1. <i>FIELDS</i> .....	26
5.6.2. <i>EPD</i> .....	29
5.6.3. <i>FPI</i> .....	29

5.6.4. HPCA..... 31

5.6.5. ASPOC..... 32

5.7. Science Analysis and News Reports ..... 32

5.8. Additional Data Flows ..... 32

6. CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT / QA..... 33

6.1. Information Preservation and Backup..... 33

6.2. Versioning..... 33

6.2.1. Software Versioning ..... 33

6.2.2. Data Versioning..... 34

6.3. Release Control ..... 34

6.3.1. Validation ..... 34

6.3.2. Release Notes..... 34

6.3.3. New Release Notifications ..... 34

6.4. Defect Tracking..... 35

7. DATA ACCESS AND AVAILABILITY ..... 35

7.1. Science Data..... 36

7.2. Engineering Data..... 37

7.3. Data Analysis Software..... 37

8. DATA ARCHIVAL ..... 37

9. DATA RIGHTS AND RULES FOR DATA USE ..... 38

APPENDIX A - TABLE OF ACRONYMS ..... 39

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Location of Magnetic Reconnection on the Dayside/Nightside ..... 3

Figure 2-2: Data Collection Strategy Overview ..... 4

Figure 2-3: MMS-SMART Instrument Suite Architecture ..... 6

Figure 2-4: MMS Orbit Phases ..... 7

Figure 2-5: MMS Science Acquisition Timeline..... 7

Figure 3-1: MMS Ground Data System Responsibilities ..... 9

Figure 5-1: Key data flows between the MMS MOC and POC ..... 24

Figure 5-2: POC-ITF Data Transfers ..... 25

Figure 5-3: SDC-ITF Data Transfers ..... 26

Figure 5-4: AFG / DFG Processing Flow..... 27

Figure 5-5: EDI, SDP, SCM Bestarg Processing Flow ..... 27

Figure 5-6: EDI Additional Processing Flow ..... 28

Figure 5-7: ADP/SDP Processing Flow..... 28

Figure 5-8: EPD Processing Flow..... 29

Figure 5-9: FPI Processing Flow ..... 30

Figure 5-10: HPCA Processing Flow ..... 31

Figure 5-11: ASPOC Processing Flow ..... 32

Figure 7-1: MMS-SMART Public Data Access ..... 36

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: *Relevant Documents* ..... 2

Table 3-1: *MMS-SMART Instrument Team Facilities (ITF)*..... 12

Table 3-3: *MMS Associated Organizations* ..... 14

Table 4-1: *MMS-SMART Data Level Definitions* ..... 15

Table 4-2 *Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI) Level-1 Data Products* ..... 15

Table 4-3 *Hot Plasma Composition Analyzer (HPCA) Level-1 Data Products* ..... 16

Table 4-4: *FIELDS Level-1 Data Products* ..... 16

Table 4-5: *Energetic Particle Detector System (EPD) Level-1 Data Products*..... 17

Table 4-6: *Active Spacecraft Potential Control (ASPOC) Level-1 Data Products* ..... 17

Table 4-7: *MMS-SMART Quicklook Products*..... 18

Table 4-8 *Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI) Level-2 Science Products* ..... 18

Table 4-9: *Hot Plasma Composition Analyzer (HPCA) Level-2 Science Products*..... 19

Table 4-10: *FIELDS Level-2 Science Products* ..... 19

Table 4-11: *Energetic Particle Detection System (EPD) Level-2 Science Products*..... 20

Table 4-12: *Active Spacecraft Potential Control (ASPOC) Level-2 Science Products* ..... 20

Table 4-14: *MMS-SMART Data Volume (daily, per spacecraft)*..... 21

Table 5-1: *Timeline for Availability of MMS-SMART Data Products*..... 23

**REVISION NOTICE**

Preliminary: April 2009

Initial Release: August 2010

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Purpose and Scope

This document describes the Project Data Management Plan (PDMP) for the Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS) mission. It describes the mission's data requirements and how the MMS data system will be implemented in order to meet those requirements.

Described in this plan are:

- Overview of the MMS science objectives, mission concept, and instrumentation.
- Roles and responsibilities of all institutions expected to participate in MMS data production, dissemination, and archival activities.
- Identification and description of the various types of MMS data including data volume estimates.
- Description of data flow between key MMS project entities.
- Description of planned data distribution and access methods.
- Plans for mission data archival.

It is important to note that the MMS mission simultaneously supports four identically instrumented spacecraft flying in formation that will operate in two different data acquisition modes with significantly different data throughput and storage requirements.

### 1.2. Development, Maintenance, and Management Responsibility

Developing, maintaining and managing this PDMP is an MMS Project responsibility. The Science Operations Center (SOC) at the Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics (LASP) has been designated responsibility for the development and maintenance of this plan under the direction of the MMS Principal Investigator (PI), Dr. James L. Burch, at the Southwest Research Institute (SwRI).

### 1.3. Change Control

This PDMP is an MMS Project-controlled document and is under change control once signed by all parties. A draft version will be completed prior to the MMS Preliminary Design Review (PDR) and will be baselined at the MMS Critical Design Review. Subsequently, any changes to this document will be submitted to the MMS Principal Investigator, who will coordinate reviews of proposed changes, as needed.

### 1.4. Relevant Documents

Relevant documents that support details provided in this PDMP are listed in Table 1-1.

Document Reference	Title
461-PROJ-RQMT-0018	Program Level Requirements for the MMS Project - Appendix C to the STP Program Plan, MMS Level 1 Requirements
461-SYS-RQMT-0019	<i>MMS Mission Requirements Document (MRD)</i>
TBS	<i>NASA Heliophysics Science Data Management Policy</i>
461-PROJ-PLAN-0071	<i>MMS Concept of Operations</i>
461-GS-ICD-0014	<i>MOC/FDOA-SOC ICD</i>
TBS	<i>MMS MOC-SOC ICD</i>
TBS	<i>MMS SOC-ITF ICD</i>

Document Reference	Title
SwRI Document No. 10160.17-BADCO-01	<i>Burst Algorithm Definition and Concept of Operations (BADCO)</i>
TBS	<i>MMS-SMART Instrument Suite Science Data Processing Plan</i>
TBS	<i>MMS-SMART Instrument Suite Science Data Analysis Support and Data Products Plan</i>
TBS	<i>MMS Flight Operations Plan</i>

**Table 1-1: Relevant Documents**

## 2. MISSION OVERVIEW

### 2.1. Mission Objectives

The Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS) mission is a National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Science Mission Directorate (SMD) Heliophysics Division flight project in the Solar Terrestrial Probes (STP) Program. MMS is a space physics research mission to discover the fundamental plasma physics processes of magnetic reconnection in the Earth's magnetosphere. Magnetic reconnection occurs when the charged particles and wave energy emanating from the sun in the Solar Wind interact with the Earth's magnetic field. Four identically instrumented satellites will measure electric and magnetic fields, fast plasma, energetic particles, and hot plasma composition at time scales of milliseconds to seconds and spatial scales of tens to hundreds of km. The satellites will fly in a tetrahedron formation to explore the dayside of the magnetopause and the night side of the magnetotail in three dimensions. The four satellites will be launched together in a stacked configuration into an elliptical Earth orbit with an apogee of 12 Re.

The specific MMS science objectives are:

- Determine the role played by electron inertial effects and turbulent dissipation in driving magnetic reconnection in the electron diffusion region.
- Determine the rate of magnetic reconnection and the parameters that control it.
- Determine the role played by ion inertial effects in the physics of magnetic reconnection.


### 2.2. Mission Concept

The MMS mission employs four identically instrumented spinning spacecraft orbiting the Earth in a tetrahedral configuration to conduct definitive investigations of magnetic reconnection in key boundary regions of the Earth's magnetosphere. The process of magnetic reconnection, which controls the flow of energy, mass, and momentum within and across plasma boundaries, occurs throughout the universe and is fundamental to our understanding of astrophysical and solar system plasmas. It is only in the Earth's magnetosphere, however, that it is readily accessible for sustained study through the *in situ* measurement of plasma properties and of the electric and magnetic fields that govern the behavior of the plasmas.

Through high-resolution measurements made by each spacecraft, whose separations can be varied from tens of km to a few hundreds of kilometers, MMS will probe the crucial microscopic physics involved in these fundamental processes; determine the 3-D geometry of the plasma, field, and current structures associated with them; and relate their micro-scale dimension to phenomena occurring on the mesoscale. By acquiring data simultaneously at multiple points in space, MMS will be able to differentiate between spatial variations and temporal evolution, thus removing the space-time ambiguity that has limited single-spacecraft studies of magnetospheric plasma processes.


A two-phase low-inclination orbit strategy will probe the most likely reconnection sites on both the dayside magnetopause (Phase 1) and in the magnetotail (Phase 2). Phase 1 will consist of an elliptical

Earth orbit with an apogee of  $12 R_E \pm 1 R_E$ . Phase 2 will consist of an elliptical Earth orbit with an apogee of  $25 R_E \pm 5 R_E$  (Figure 2-1).



**Figure 2-1: Location of Magnetic Reconnection on the Dayside/Nightside**

The Regions Of (science) Interest (ROI) are defined as geocentric apogee distances  $>9 R_E$  for Phase 1, and  $>15 R_E$  for Phase 2. There is no formation requirement or region of science interest defined during the transition from Phase 1 to Phase 2.



*Tetrahedron configuration and burst data acquisition maintained throughout region of interest ( $> 9 R_E$  day side,  $> 15 R_E$  night side).*

**Figure 2-2: Data Collection Strategy Overview**

The four MMS spacecraft will operate in the Slow Survey mode approximately 50% of the time during each orbit. Fast Survey mode occurs within the primary science region of interest. Burst data is also collected during the Fast Survey period.

Two types of science data types are used to meet the mission requirements. These data types are:

- *Survey data:* These data are collected continuously from all instruments that are powered and stored in the mass memory module of the CIDP. The orbit is divided into fast survey and slow survey periods. Fast survey data are collected during the primary science region of interest (ROI) period of the orbit while the slow survey data are collected at a slower rate during the region of the orbit that is of low science interest. The fast and slow survey times are set by ground command.
- *Burst data:* The instruments provide a stream of the highest resolution data to the CIDP in parallel with the fast survey data. All burst data collected during the fast survey period will be stored in the mass memory module of the CIDP. Burst information is tagged with quality information based on a spacecraft wide trigger system.

*Trigger data* are also generated by all instruments and are used by the CIDP to calculate quality information and by the Science Operations Center (SOC) to support the selection of the priority burst data to optimize science data downlink. Every instrument supplies a set of trigger data once every ~10 seconds.

Inter-spacecraft separation distances between the four spacecraft in the tetrahedron will be changed between 160 km and 10 km (Phase 1) and between 400 km and 30 km (Phase 2) by ground command to locate the optimal distance to optimize the opportunities to capture reconnection events. The observatories will then be placed in this optimal distance for the remainder of that Phase.

### 2.3. Science Instrumentation

The SMART (Solving Magnetospheric Acceleration, Reconnection and Turbulence) Instrument Suite will make the required high-time resolution measurements of plasmas, electric fields and magnetic fields and provide important supporting measurements of energetic particles and ion composition. The SMART IS consists of the following complement of instruments:

- **The FIELDS investigation** includes a sensor suite consisting of axial and spin-plane double-probe electric-field sensors (ADP and SDP), two flux-gate magnetometers (AFG and DFG), a search-coil magnetometer (SCM), and an electron drift instrument (EDI). These instruments measure DC magnetic field with a resolution of 10 ms, DC electric field with a resolution of 1 ms, electric plasma waves to 100 kHz, and magnetic plasma waves to 6 kHz.
- **The Energetic Particle Detector (EPD)** includes an Energetic Ion Spectrometer (EIS) and an all-sky particle sampler called the Fly's Eye Energetic Particle Sensor (FEEPS). These instruments measure the energy-angle distribution and composition of ions (20 to 500 keV) at a time resolution of < 30 seconds, the energy-angle distribution of total ions (45 – 500 keV) at a time resolution of < 10 seconds, and the coarse and fine energy-angle distribution of energetic electrons (25 – 500 keV) at time resolutions of < 0.5 and < 10 seconds, respectively.
- **The Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI)** includes four dual electron spectrometers (DES) and four dual ion spectrometers (DIS). These instruments measure the velocity-space distribution of electrons from 10 eV to 30 keV and ions from 10 eV to 30 keV with time resolution of 30 ms, and 150 ms, respectively.
- **The Hot Plasma Composition Analyzer (HPCA)** measures the composition-resolved velocity-space distribution of ions from 1 eV to 40 keV with time resolution of 10 – 15 seconds.
- **The Active Spacecraft Potential Control (ASPOC)** generates beams of indium ions to limit positive spacecraft potentials to +4V in order to improve the measurements obtained by FPI, HPCA, ADP, and SDP.

The instrument suites are supported by the Central Instrument Data Processor (CIDP), which collects and stores telemetry from each instrument and sends it to the spacecraft for downlink. The CIDP provides time and spin synchronization as well as switched power services to the instruments, and forwards commands received from the Spacecraft Avionics (Figure 2-3).




Figure 2-3: MMS-SMART Instrument Suite Architecture

**2.4. Orbit Phases and Mission Timeline**

MMS’s four satellites will be launched together in a stacked configuration into an elliptical Earth orbit with an apogee of 12 Re. During the estimated 4-month checkout period, the perigee will be raised to 1.2 Re via the spacecraft propulsion systems. At the end of the check out period the orbit apogee will be near the dusk magnetopause. Phase 1 will commence at this point and last 16 months. During Phase 1 the MMS apogee will sweep across the dayside magnetopause twice (phase 1a and 1b). At the end of Phase 1b, the apogee will be raised gradually to 25 Re. Phase 2 continues for an additional 5.5 months. The orbit will be allowed to drift naturally throughout the mission lifetime (i.e., it will not be controlled). Should the MMS mission be extended, the spacecraft will remain in the Phase 2 orbit with its 25 Re apogee (see Figure 2-4).




Figure 2-4: MMS Orbit Phases

The timeline for collection of science data during each of the MMS mission phases is shown in Figure 2-5.




Figure 2-5: MMS Science Acquisition Timeline

### 3. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

#### 3.1. Overview of Ground System

The MMS ground system supports on-orbit operations of the MMS observatories, as well as the production, storage, management, and dissemination of MMS science data products. The MMS ground system consists of the following functional elements:

- Mission Operations Center (MOC). Located at Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) in Greenbelt, MD. Responsible for spacecraft operations and telemetry capture.
- Flight Dynamics Operations Area (FDOA). Located at Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) in Greenbelt, MD. Responsible for orbit and attitude determination and control.
- Science Operations Center (SOC). Located at the Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics (LASP) at the University of Colorado in Boulder, CO. Responsible for Instrument Suite (IS) operations, instrument data processing, archiving, and distribution.
- SMART Instrument Team Facilities (ITF). Specific institutions are identified in Section 3-4. Instrument teams are responsible for data analysis and validation; instrument monitoring and special operations requests; software for producing Quicklook and Level-2 data products; Level-2 data processing; analysis tools for publicly available data products.
- Education and Public Outreach (EPO). Located at Rice University. Responsible for dissemination of educational materials to schools and the general public.

Descriptions and operational responsibilities for each of these elements are summarized in Figure 3-1 and described in the sections that follow.




Figure 3-1: MMS Ground Data System Responsibilities

3.2. Mission Operations Center (MOC)

The Mission Operations Center is located at Goddard Spaceflight Center (GSFC) and is responsible for all spacecraft-related operations. These functions include:

- Health and safety monitoring of the spacecraft bus and selected critical instrument safety parameters.
- Generation of spacecraft commands.
- Merge and uplink of spacecraft and Instrument Suite (IS) stored commands.
- Station pass planning and scheduling.
- Spacecraft and Instrument Suite engineering data capture.
- Engineering and Instrument Suite science data capture.
- Spacecraft engineering Level-0 data processing.
- Spacecraft telemetry/command definitions configuration management.

Additionally, the MOC will be responsible for providing the SOC with various data, as outlined in the MOC-SOC ICD, including the following:

- All instrument telemetry and select spacecraft telemetry (real-time and playback).
- Planning aids/products.
- Spacecraft health/safety and status reports.
- Ancillary data.

### ***3.2.1. Flight Dynamics Operations Area (FDOA)***

The FDOA is co-located within the MOC at GSFC and is responsible for the following:

- All orbital dynamics.
- Constellation formation.
- Observatory spin axis and spin rate control.
- Definitive orbit and attitude determination, planning, calibration, and control.
- Tracking station acquisition.
- Data generation/distribution.
- NAV performance, calibration, configuration.
- Attitude/orbit related product generation.

### **3.3. Science Operations Center (SOC)**

The Science Operations Center (SOC) is located at the University of Colorado in the Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics (LASP). It is responsible for managing and conducting instrument operations and serves as the central hub for all instrument and ancillary data required for instrument suite operations support, data processing, data distribution, and science analysis. The SOC will also be responsible for archiving all MMS data during the active mission and will help plan and provide for subsequent archive phases prior to mission termination. Specific responsibilities include:

- All Instrument Suite operations including health and safety monitoring, IS operations planning and scheduling, and IS command generation/integration.
  - Mission-level data storage.
  - IS recorder management.
  - Level 0 and 1 data processing.
  - IS calibration support.
  - Mission data archiving/distribution.
  - IS telemetry/command definition configuration management.
  - Housing the Instrument Team during post-launch IS activation and checkout activities.
- Providing data in a variety of coordinate systems.

For organizational purposes, the SOC is functionally divided into a Payload Operations Center (POC) for operations-related functions and a Science Data Center (SDC) for data production, management, and distribution functions.

#### ***3.3.1. Payload Operations Center (POC)***

The Payload Operations Center (POC) will have the primary responsibility for monitoring and controlling the MMS suite of instruments. The POC to MOC operational interface used for commanding the IS and receiving telemetry is described in the *MMS MOC-SOC ICD*.

In close collaboration with the ITFs and each instrument's PI and systems engineers, the POC will establish baselines of nominal operational and instrument calibration sequences given a certain mission phase, respective spacecraft state, and orbit location. The POC will notify the ITFs of anomalous conditions as they occur and wait for Anomaly Review Board (ARB) resolution before recovering an instrument and resuming nominal operations. The POC will also notify the ITFs of spacecraft, constellation, or ground segment configuration changes affecting previously established nominal operational command sequencing. To safely implement commanding, the POC will work closely with the MOC to schedule and implement loading the ATS (Absolute Time Sequences) commands and the RTS (Relative Time Sequences) commands, as well as setting the needed Real-Time IS commanding windows. The POC will work closely with the MMS science team to plan and prepare instrument observing

sequences and command loads, and will monitor and maintain the health and safety of the MMS instruments.

For a detailed description of the POC operations approach and implementation, see the *MMS Flight Operations Plan*. This list summarizes the principal POC responsibilities:

- Plan and schedule instrument activities.
- Generate and transmit instrument operational command loads (via the MOC).
- Deliver Level 0 data to the SDC.
- Monitor Instrument Suite health and safety.
- Support routine real-time operations and commissioning of the Instrument Suite.
- Produce and transmit real-time instrument suite commands (via the MOC).
- Relay IS health and safety telemetry to ITFs.
- Notify the ITFs of IS anomalous conditions and respond as instructed.
- Coordinate IS planning and commanding among the different instruments and MOC activities.
- Manage Instrument Suite command and telemetry definition.
- Produce POC-resident spacecraft telemetry definitions subset needed by the POC to monitor the spacecraft configuration.
- Produce MOC-resident IS telemetry definitions subset needed by the MOC to monitor the IS state or perform activities that could potentially affect the IS.
- Manage POC to MOC command and telemetry interface.
- Process and disseminate low-level instrument suite engineering telemetry.

### **3.3.2. Science Data Center (SDC)**

The Science Data Center (SDC) will be responsible for MMS science data management, distribution, and processing of selected data sets. In collaboration with the SMART-IS team, the SDC will determine data storage and distribution mechanisms and ensure that data are processed and released to the public within the required timeframes (indicated in Table 5-1). In addition, the SDC will develop web-based interfaces for accessing data and analysis tools, serve news items that are relevant to the MMS mission, and will coordinate the development of data analysis and visualization tools with the MMS science team. These tools, higher level data products (Level 2+), and associated documentation will be available to the science community via the Internet.

Specific SDC responsibilities are summarized as follows:

- Provide oversight and coordination of the distributed MMS data processing system.
- Generate Level-1 and Quicklook science products.
- Provide ITFs access to all Level-0 data, Level-1 data, Quicklook science data, and ancillary data within 24-hours of receipt from each spacecraft.
- Provide tools to transform data into a variety of coordinate systems.
- Receive and manage processed science data products from ITFs.
- Collect and archive associated software and documentation from ITFs.
- Disseminate science data products to the science community via SDC web interfaces.
- Coordinate with NASA's Virtual Observatory (VxO) framework and the Space Physics Data Facility (SPDF) for additional dissemination of science products and analysis tools. In addition to better serving the science community, this is expected to facilitate cost savings measures for possible extended operations.

### **3.4. Instrument Team Facilities (ITF)**

MMS-SMART Instrument Team Facilities (ITFs) are the principal institutions associated with each of the MMS-SMART science investigations. These facilities and their personnel provide support to the

operation of their instruments and the overall data processing and distribution effort for MMS science data products.

The institutions listed in Table 3-1 have responsibility for each of the SMART investigations and their corresponding instruments, together constituting the MMS instrument suite:

MMS Investigation	Managing Institution
Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI)	Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC)
Hot Plasma Composition Analyzer (HPCA)	Southwest Research Institute (SwRI)
FIELDS	University of New Hampshire (UNH)
Energetic Particle Detector System (EPD)	Johns Hopkins University (JHU) Applied Physics Laboratory (APL)
Active Spacecraft Potential Control (ASPOC)	Space Research Institute of the Austrian Academy of Sciences

**Table 3-1: MMS-SMART Instrument Team Facilities (ITF)**

ITFs are responsible for the following:

- Operations Support:
  - Participate in the routine planning process, attend routine planning teleconferences, and review operational plans, as necessary.
  - Routinely perform detailed health and safety assessment of their respective instruments and provide the POC with instrument health and status reports.
  - Provide any needed instrument-planning requests and corresponding data to the POC for incorporation into instrument operations plans.
  - Maintain instrument flight software and tables and work with the SOC to facilitate uplink of any needed updates.
  - Support POC in developing flight procedures and scripts
  
- Science Data Production and Corresponding Support:
  - Provide the SDC with data product descriptions.
  - Generate and maintain documentation of science algorithms and processing software systems.
  - Validate all science data that originate from their respective instruments.
  - Beginning as soon as possible but no later than 6-months after instrument commissioning, begin routinely generating Level-2 science data products and deliver to the SOC within 30 days of downlink from each spacecraft. Note that Level-2 processing for ASPOC and magnetometer (e.g. AFG/DFG) data are expected to be performed at the SDC instead of the ITF as described in Sec. 5.
  - Provide data access and visualization tools to the SDC. These tools will support SDC provided interfaces and will also be made available to the science community.
  - Provide the SDC with science product metadata as defined in the *MMS SOC-ITF ICD*. This metadata will be compliant with the SPASE standard as per the *NASA Heliophysics Science Data Management Policy* document.
  - Provide the SDC with software needed for creating Quicklook products. The SDC will work with ITF teams to adapt their software to execute on SDC computer systems, such that Quicklook data products are routinely generated shortly after data downlink from each spacecraft. The ITFs will assist the SDC in maintaining this software.
  - Support end-to-end testing of interfaces with the SDC.

- Provide detailed descriptions of software and data version changes to the SDC so these changes can be communicated to the scientific community.
- Provide science news items to the SOC for posting on the SOC web site.

**3.5. Education and Public Outreach (EPO)**

The SMART EPO program, coordinated by Rice University, will focus on teacher training, educational software, and science videos for regular venues as well as planetariums. Student modules, museum exhibits, and planetarium and television shows will be disseminated through an existing network of teachers and museums in coordination with ongoing programs. Additionally, the SMART EPO team will create a web site with up-to-date mission information, webcasts of major events, and educational broadcasts, utilizing data products and information provided through the SDC web site. Note that the EPO website will be hosted at Rice University and serves a different audience than the data and analysis oriented website hosted at the SOC.

**3.6. Space-Ground Network**

Three ground tracking networks are used in support of MMS: Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System (TDRSS), Universal Space Network (USN, 13m dishes), and Deep Space Network (DSN, 34m dishes). TDRSS is used for launch and early orbit critical event coverage, Phase 0 (post launch checkout) Perigee coverage, backup command support, and Phase 2a orbit maneuver support. The USN is used for maneuver support. The Deep Space Network is used primarily for playback of recorded data, uplink of stored commands, and observatory health and safety monitoring.

All communications with the spacecraft (up/down) will use S-Band frequency, and will share a common frequency for all spacecraft. Protocols will be in accordance with the Consultative Committee on Space Data Systems (CCSDS) recommendations.

**3.7. Associated Organizations**

Organization	Role
MMS Science Working Group	The MMS Science Working Group (SWG) is chartered by the Heliophysics Division at NASA Headquarters and provides scientific and technical findings to the MMS Project Scientist and the MMS Project Manager. The SMART PI will chair the SWG, the MMS Project Scientist will be vice chair, and membership will include the five SMART Instrument Team Leaders, the SMART Theory and Modeling Team Lead, the SMART Burst System Lead, and the SMART Plasma Science Lead. Additionally, three Interdisciplinary Scientists (IDS), selected via <i>ROSES-2005 Appendix A.32</i> , will be tasked by the SWG with specific deliverables. These IDS will serve as members of the MMS SWG in addition to conducting science investigations addressing the MMS science objectives independently of the SMART science efforts.

Organization	Role
NASA HQ's Heliophysics Division	The goal of Heliophysics at NASA is to “Understand the Sun and its interactions with the Earth and the solar system”. Early NASA spacecraft confirmed the theory that interplanetary space is completely filled with streams and clouds of material ejected by the Sun. Thus, a new view of our solar system was born. At its center is a magnetically variable star that governs the motions of the planets, drives the Earth’s climate system, and modulates the interplanetary medium. From this view, the solar system appears as a heliosphere moving through interstellar space, the study of which NASA calls Heliophysics. The Heliophysics spaceflight strategy is to deploy modest-sized missions as frequently as possible to form a small fleet of solar, heliospheric, and geospace spacecraft that operate simultaneously to understand the coupled Sun-Earth system.
Space Physics Data Facility (SPDF)	The SPDF is a function of the Heliospheric Science Division (HSD) at NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center. The Facility supports data from most NASA Heliophysics missions to promote correlative and collaborative research across discipline and mission boundaries. The SPDF provides multi-mission data services such as CDAWeb, software tools used for working with CDF data files, and is responsible for long-term MMS data archival.
Virtual Observatories (VxO)	NASA's Heliophysics Virtual Observatories (VxO) provide simple, uniform access to data from distributed, heterogeneous sources. VxOs do not typically hold data but provide a framework for linking users to data and services that enhance the use of these data. In accordance with the NASA Heliophysics Science Data Management Policy, the MMS-SMART team will make its data available to NASA's VxO framework and is expected to work directly with the Virtual Magnetospheric Observatory.

**Table 3-2: MMS Associated Organizations**

**4. DATA IDENTIFICATION**

The MMS project will use the data level definitions indicated in Table 4-1.

Data Level	Brief Description
Raw	Raw telemetry data as received at the ground receiving station or ground test GSE, organized by contacts or ground test. Data sets may overlap and/or contain communication artifacts.
Level-0	Reconstructed, unprocessed instrument, payload, and spacecraft data; any and all communications artifacts, e.g. synchronization frames, communications headers, duplicate data are removed. These data will typically consist of binary CCSDS packets.
Level-1A	Fully decommutated but uncalibrated raw data at full resolution; time-referenced; "extracted telemetry items"
Level-1B	Level-1A (extracted telemetry items) to which engineering (e.g. simple polynomial) calibrations have been applied; data have been annotated with ancillary information (e.g., ephemeris, attitude data) and initial instrument-level science calibrations have been applied.

Data Level	Brief Description
QuickLook	Scientific data products that are generated using simplified science processing algorithms and/or with provisional calibrations. These data are intended to provide basic scientific insight. Generation of these data will occur as quickly as possible, whereas routine production of Level-2 and Level-3 products may take considerably longer. In many cases, Level-1B products will serve as Quicklook data.
Level-2	Level-1 data that have been processed to physical units and/or derived geophysical parameters by combining calibration, ancillary, and other data. These data represent the lowest level of research grade scientific data, and exist at the same time and/or spatial resolution as Level-1 data.
Level-3	Mission Level Data Products. These data have been resampled spatially and/or temporally and may have been combined with measurements from other MMS instruments to produce a merged data set.

**Table 4-1: MMS-SMART Data Level Definitions**

**4.1. Data Product File Formats**

The SMART-IS team expects to use a common format for all publicly available MMS scientific data products. Although specific formats won't be finalized until Ground Segment CDR, MMS will use a format that is familiar and convenient for the scientific communities that the MMS program will serve. This will facilitate the use of MMS data in multi-mission science studies and will allow the data to be used with existing tools. The Common Data Format (CDF) is a likely selection based on its proven capabilities, its familiarity to the instrument teams and corresponding scientific communities, its use and planned use by missions including Cluster, THEMIS and RBSP, and its status as a currently supported product of NASA's Space Physics Data Facility.

Along with data files, the communities served by some of the MMS instruments are accustomed to the availability of web-based on-demand data product generation and the availability of "calibrator" software tools, which can be downloaded and executed on an individual user's own computer. MMS will continue the tradition of providing these tools, but will also produce and provide pre-processed data product *files*. Specific instrument teams that intend to provide software calibrators are identified in Section 4.7.

**4.2. Calibration Data**

Details about MMS instrument calibration data will be included in a future version of this document.

**4.3. Level-1 Data**

Level-1 data products are fully decommutated but uncalibrated raw data at full resolution; time-referenced; "extracted telemetry items." Data volumes are identified in Table 4-14 and each instrument team's Level-1 products are described in the tables below:

Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI) Level-1 Products	
Sensor(s)	Description
DES	Efficiency/dead-time corrected, decompressed DES data [counts and/or $f(v)$ ]
DIS	Efficiency/dead-time corrected, decompressed DIS data [counts and/or $f(v)$ ]

**Table 4-2 Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI) Level-1 Data Products**

<b>Hot Plasma Composition Analyzer (HPCA) Level-1 Products</b>	
<b>Sensor(s)</b>	<b>Description</b>
HPCA	Decompressed counting rates as a function of E, PO, AZ, TOF
HPCA	Gain, background, cal data

**Table 4-3 Hot Plasma Composition Analyzer (HPCA) Level-1 Data Products**

<b>FIELDS Level-1 Products</b>	
<b>Sensor(s)</b>	<b>Description</b>
AFG	3-component B-field from Analog Flux Gate (AFG), to 128 vectors/sec
DFG	3-component B-field from Digital Flux Gate (DFG), to 128 vectors/sec
SDP	2-component E-field from Spin-plane Double Probe (SDP), to 1024 samples/sec
ADP	1-component E-field from Axial Double Probe (ADP), to 1024 samples/sec
SDP-ADP	Sweep calibration data from SDP and ADP
SDP-ADP	1 spacecraft potential sample from combination of ADP and SDP
SDP-ADP	3 sphere voltages from ADP and SDP
SDP-ADP	3-component Low Frequency (LF) electric Spectra
SDP-ADP	3 sampled Medium Frequency (MF) electric Waveform
SCM	3-component AC B-field from Search Coil Magnetometer (SCM)
SCM	Sweep calibration data
SCM	3-component LF magnetic Spectra
SCM	3-component sampled MF Magnetic waveform
EDI	Beam angles of successful Beam hits from Electron Drift Instrument (EDI)
EDI	Ambient electrons at two directions

**Table 4-4: FIELDS Level-1 Data Products**

<b>Energetic Particle Detector System (EPD) Level-1 Products</b>	
<b>Sensor(s)</b>	<b>Description</b>
FEEPS	Burst: uncalibrated (counts) electron data cubes: Counts x Pseudo Energy x Detector versus time, sampled at the instrument sampling rate combined for both heads (18 detectors total) with each detector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look direction (64 spin sectors).
FEEPS/EIS	Burst: uncalibrated (counts) Fast Ion data cube: Counts x Pseudo Energy x Detector versus time, at the slower of the 2 instrument sampling rates, combined 2 FEEPS heads (6 detectors) and the 1 EIS head (6 detectors) with each detector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look direction (32 spin sectors).
FEEPS/EIS	Fast & Slow Survey: uncalibrated (Counts) electron data cubes: Counts x Pseudo Energy x Sector Elevation x Sector Longitude versus time, sampled at 1/8 spin period combined for both heads with each sector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look direction.

<b>Energetic Particle Detector System (EPD) Level-1 Products</b>	
<b>Sensor(s)</b>	<b>Description</b>
FEEPS/EIS	Fast & Slow Survey: uncalibrated (Counts) Fast Ion data cube: Counts x Pseudo Energy x Sector Elevation x Sector Longitude versus time, at 1/8 spin period timing, combined 2 FEEPS heads (6 detectors) and the 1 EIS head (6 detectors) with each sector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look direction.
EIS	Fast & Slow Survey: uncalibrated (Counts) Ion Composition data cube: Ion Species counts x Pseudo Energy x Sector Elevation x Sector Longitude versus time, with each 6 EIS sector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look directions.
EIS	Burst: uncalibrated (Counts) Ion Composition data cube: Ion Species counts x Pseudo Energy x Sector Elevation x Sector Longitude versus time, with each 6 EIS sector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look directions.

**Table 4-5: Energetic Particle Detector System (EPD) Level-1 Data Products**

<b>Active Spacecraft Potential Control (ASPOC) Level-1 Products</b>	
<b>Sensor(s)</b>	<b>Description</b>
ASPOC	Ion beam current for each of the two ASPOCs at highest time resolution.
ASPOC	Ion beam energy for each of the two ASPOCs at highest time resolution.

**Table 4-6: Active Spacecraft Potential Control (ASPOC) Level-1 Data Products**

**4.4. Quicklook Data**

Quicklook data are scientific data products that are generated using simplified science processing algorithms and/or with provisional calibrations. These data are intended to provide basic scientific insight. Generation of these data will occur as quickly as possible, whereas routine production of Level-2 and Level-3 products may take considerably longer. In many cases, Level-1B products will serve as Quicklook data.

The MMS SDC will routinely produce Quicklook science data products, which will be easily browsed via a website interface hosted by the SOC. Quicklook data will be made available to the science community within 24 hours of telemetry receipt at the SOC. These data products are generated using simplified science processing algorithms with provisional calibrations. While expected to be useful for providing scientific insight into recent magnetospheric activity, they are not appropriate for research use.

Data volumes are identified in Table 4-14 and Quicklook data products are described below:

<b>Quicklook Data Products</b>	
<b>Investigation</b>	<b>Description</b>
Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI)	Energy-azimuth-zenith angle vs. time spectrograms (survey “sky-maps”), and survey moment time-series including densities, velocities, and temperatures. Unpacked and de-spun FPI Trigger Terms (electron/ion “pseudo-moments”).
Hot Plasma Composition Analyzer (HPCA)	Particle flux as a function of energy and ion species. Flux data will be displayed primarily as spectrograms (energy vs. time for H <sup>+</sup> , He <sup>+</sup> , He <sup>++</sup> , and O <sup>+</sup> ions).

Quicklook Data Products	
Investigation	Description
FIELDS	DC electric field vector, DC magnetic field vector, spacecraft potential, E and B wave spectra, EDI ambient electron counts when available.
Energetic Particle Detector System (EPD)	Electron spectra, ion spectra, omni-directional intensities, selected energy angular distributions, selected-energy pitch angle distribution, ion composition index.
Active Spacecraft Potential Control (ASPOC)	Ion beam current for each of the two ASPOC units.

**Table 4-7: MMS-SMART Quicklook Products**

**4.5. Level-2 Data**

Level-2 data are Level-1 data that have been processed to physical units and/or derived geophysical parameters by combining calibration, ancillary, and other data. These data represent the lowest level of research grade scientific data, and exist at the same time and/or spatial resolution as Level-1 data. All data products will include data quality flags and uncertainties as appropriate to aid in accurate understanding of correct data use.

Production of Level-2 science data products and delivery to the SDC is the responsibility of each ITF. For convenience, some Level-2 data products are expected to be generated locally at the SDC using software maintained by ITF personnel. Data volumes are identified in Table 4-13 and Level-2 data products are described below:

Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI) Level-2 Science Products		
Sensor(s)	Description	Notes
DES/DIS	Energy, azimuth, zenith-angle spectrogram (“sky/burst-map”) time-series supporting various levels of time axis zoom for slow survey, fast survey, and burst records.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Files generated at ITF.</li> <li>FPI’s processed data volume is very large. An important and achievable goal is to minimize any unnecessary growth of this volume due to FPI-ITF re-processing.</li> </ul>
DES/DIS	Velocity distributions (with spacecraft potential corrections) in 3D: supporting various slices, projections, or reductions (pitch angle, ecliptic, GSM equator, etc. portrayals).	
DES/DIS	Moments (density, velocity vector, temperature vector, pressure tensor, heat flux vector) for survey and bursts.	

**Table 4-8 Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI) Level-2 Science Products**

HPCA Level-2 Science Products	
Description	Notes
Calibrated & background-corrected energy flux for H <sup>+</sup> , He <sup>+</sup> , He <sup>++</sup> , and O <sup>+</sup> ions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Files generated at ITF.</li> <li>These will be generated with varying fidelity depending on the operating mode of the instrument. In the highest resolution mode, each species will</li> </ul>
Velocity distribution functions of H <sup>+</sup> , He <sup>+</sup> , He <sup>++</sup> , and O <sup>+</sup> ions derived from j(E)	

<b>HPCA Level-2 Science Products</b>	
<b>Description</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Moments (density, velocity, temperature)	result in 18432 data values (64 Energy x Polar x 18 Azimuth at a ten second cadence).

**Table 4-9: Hot Plasma Composition Analyzer (HPCA) Level-2 Science Products**

<b>FIELDS Level-2 Science Products</b>		
<b>Sensor(s)</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Notes</b>
AFG	3-component B-field to 128 vectors/sec in GSE	Files generated at SDC
DFG	3-component B-field to 128 vectors/sec in GSE	Files generated at SDC
SDP	2-component E-field to 1024 samples/sec in GSE	Files generated at ITF
ADP	1-component E-field to 1024 samples/sec in GSE	Files generated at ITF
SDP-ADP	1 spacecraft potential	Files generated at ITF
SDP-ADP	3 sphere voltages from ADP and SDP	Files generated at ITF
SDP-ADP	3-component LF electric spectra	Files generated at ITF
SDP-ADP	3-component sampled MF Electric waveform	Files generated at ITF
SCM	3-component LF magnetic spectra	On-demand calibrator
SCM	3-component sampled MF Magnetic waveform	On-demand calibrator
SCM	3-component AC B-field	On-demand calibrator
EDI	Beam angles of successful Beam hits	Files generated at ITF
EDI	Ambient electrons at two directions	Files generated at ITF

**Table 4-10: FIELDS Level-2 Science Products**

<b>Energetic Particle Detector System (EPD) Level-2 Science Products</b>		
<b>Sensor(s)</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Notes</b>
FEEPS	Burst: Calibrated (Intensity) electron data cubes: Intensity x Energy x Detector versus time, sampled at the instrument sampling rate combined for both heads (18 detectors total) with each detector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look direction and magnetic field direction (64 spin sectors).	Files generated at ITF
FEEPS/EIS	Burst: Calibrated (Intensity) Fast Ion data cube: Intensity x Energy x Detector versus time, at the slower of the 2 instrument sampling rates, combined 2 FEEPS heads (6 detectors) and the 1 EIS head (6 detectors) with each detector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look direction and magnetic field direction (32 spin sectors).	Files generated at ITF

<b>Energetic Particle Detector System (EPD) Level-2 Science Products</b>		
<b>Sensor(s)</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Notes</b>
FEEPS	Fast & Slow Survey: Calibrated (Intensity) electron data cubes: Intensity x Energy x Sector Elevation x Sector Longitude versus time, sampled 1/8 spin period combined for both heads with each sector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look direction and magnetic field direction.	Files generated at ITF
FEEPS/EIS	Fast & Slow Survey: Calibrated (Intensity) Fast Ion data cube: Intensity x Energy x Sector Elevation x Sector Longitude versus time, at 1/8 spin period timing, combined 2 FEEPS heads (6 detectors) and the 1 EIS head (6 detectors) with each sector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look direction and magnetic field direction.	Files generated at ITF
FEEPS/EIS	Fast & Slow Survey: Calibrated (Intensity) Fast Ion data cube: Intensity x Energy x Sector Elevation x Sector Longitude versus time, combined 2 FEEPS heads (6 detectors) and the 1 EIS head (6 detectors) with each sector mapped to a Geophysical-Coordinate look direction and magnetic field direction.	Files generated at ITF
FEEPS/EIS	Selected Energy and Species “All-Sky” images of particle intensities, with geophysical and magnetic field direction mapping.	Files generated at ITF
FEEPS	Selected Electron Energy Spectra versus look direction mapped to magnetic field direction	Files generated at ITF
EIS	Selected Proton, Helium, and Oxygen Energy Spectra versus look direction and mapped to field direction.	Files generated at ITF
FEEPS/EIS	Selected Electron and Ion Angle distributions	Files generated at ITF

**Table 4-11: Energetic Particle Detection System (EPD) Level-2 Science Products**

<b>Active Spacecraft Potential Control (ASPOC) Level-2 Science Products</b>	
<b>Description</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Ion beam current for each of the two ASPOCs at highest time resolution	Files generated at SDC
Ion beam energy for each of the two ASPOCs at highest time resolution	

**Table 4-12: Active Spacecraft Potential Control (ASPOC) Level-2 Science Products**

**4.6. MMS Mission Level Science Products (Level-3)**

The MMS Mission Level Data (MLD) products are combined from the instrument-specific Level-2 data products. MLDs specifically address the need for multi-instrument analyses central to the SMART project. Level-3 data may include other publicly available data products if they are of significance to the SMART science efforts *and* can be merged into the data system at the SDC (e.g. geomagnetic indices, solar UV fluxes, etc.).

Specific MMS Level-3 products, along with responsibility for their generation, will be identified in the *MMS-SMART Instrument Suite Science Data Analysis Support and Data Products Plan*, which will be baselined at Ground Segment CDR.

#### 4.7. Data Volume

The MMS-SMART IS will produce a substantial volume of data on a daily basis. Level-2, alone, is expected to account for more than 50 GB per day for all four observatories. Within the MMS team, systems will be scaled accordingly to accommodate data transmission and storage needs. To facilitate use by the general science community, it is anticipated that online analysis tools will provide the ability to zero in on specific data of interest prior to download. For scientists working with the data, this will minimize the amount of data that needs to be downloaded and managed on local systems. The following table indicates the expected data volumes for each MMS-SMART investigation's data products.

MMS Data Volume (daily, per spacecraft)						
Instrument	Raw Volume	Level-0 Volume	Level-1 Volume	Level-2 Volume	Level-3 Volume	Total
Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI)	262 MB	262 MB	1310 MB	11200 MB	TBD	13034 MB
Hot Plasma Composition Analyzer (HPCA)	59 MB	59 MB	295 MB	443 MB	TBD	856 MB
Fluxgate magnetometer - AFG	3 MB	3 MB	15 MB	38 MB	TBD	59 MB
Fluxgate magnetometer - DFG	2 MB	2 MB	10 MB	32 MB	TBD	46 MB
Search-coil magnetometer (SCM)	35 MB	35 MB	175 MB	260 MB	TBD	505 MB
Spin-plane electric field instrument (SDP)	77 MB	77 MB	385 MB	600 MB	TBD	1139 MB
Axial double-probe electric field instrument (ADP)	39 MB	39 MB	195 MB	300 MB	TBD	573 MB
Electron-drift electric field instrument (EDI)	7 MB	7 MB	35 MB	100 MB	TBD	149 MB
EPD	5.0 MB	5.0 MB	25 MB	151 MB	TBD	186 MB
Active Spacecraft Potential Control (ASPOC)	1.2 MB	1.2 MB	8 MB	8 MB	0	18 MB
<b>Total</b>	.49 GB	.49 GB	2.5 GB	13.1 GB	TBD	16.6 GB

**Table 4-13: MMS-SMART Data Volume (daily, per spacecraft)**

Of the data volume numbers in the above table, approximately 74% are burst data, 25% are Fast Survey, and the remaining 1% is Slow Survey data.

## 5. DATA HANDLING

### 5.1. Overview of End-to-End Data Flow

Data capture of both instrument and spacecraft recorded telemetry will occur at the MOC. During ground station contacts, real-time telemetry will be relayed from the MOC to the SOC. Following each ground station contact, the MOC will provide the SOC with recorded telemetry frames containing instrument and spacecraft data, along with appropriate status and ancillary information. The SOC will perform Level-0 processing on these data, dividing the telemetry data streams into packets. The packet sets will then be

processed at the SOC, using software developed by LASP and the investigator teams, to form Level-1 data products, which will be used to monitor state-of-health and to support operations. Quicklook science data products will also be created to provide a preliminary view of MMS science measurements and will be accessible to the science community via the Internet. Generation of Level-1 data will take place within 6-hours of ground receipt, with Quicklook products nominally being generated within 24 hours.

Within one day of receipt, the SDC will make available telemetry and ancillary data to the ITFs for Level-2 data processing. Each ITF is responsible for generating Level-2 data products based on best current calibration factors and delivering these products to the SDC for distribution and archival within 30 days.

Since it is expected that magnetometer and ASPOC data will be needed by all the ITFs to perform their Level-2 data processing, Level-2 magnetometer and ASPOC processing are expected to occur at the SDC in close collaboration with the corresponding ITF. In these cases, maintenance and development of the processing software, data validation, and calibrations will be the responsibility of the ITF and the SDC will run the provided Level-2 software. Subsequently, these data products will be available to all the teams within 24 hours of receipt of telemetry at the SOC.

Updates to calibrations, algorithms, and/or processing software are expected to occur regularly, resulting in appropriate production system updates followed by reprocessing of science data products. Systems at the SOC and ITFs will be designed to handle these periodic version changes. Likewise, publicly available software tools will be updated as needed.

Responsibilities for data product generation and dissemination are discussed in Section 3 and the timeline and schedule for production and availability of MMS data products is shown in Table 5-1. Details regarding data distribution to/from the ITFs, magnetometer and ASPOC Level-2 software deployment, data validation, and reprocessing strategies will be provided in the *MMS-SMART Instrument Suite Science Data Processing Plan*.

Data Level	Responsible Team	Availability Schedule
Level-0	MMS SOC	Beginning at the start of instrument commissioning, Level-0 data will be routinely available within 6 hours of science telemetry receipt at the SOC.
Level-1	MMS SOC	Beginning at the start of instrument commissioning, Level-1 data will be routinely available within 6 hours of science telemetry receipt at the SOC.
Quicklook	MMS SOC	Beginning at the start of instrument commissioning, Quicklook data will be routinely available within 24 hours of science telemetry receipt at the SOC.
Level-2	Instrument Team Facilities (ITFs) are responsible for all Level 2 data production and validation. In the case of ASPOC and magnetometer data, Level 2 processing is expected to occur at the SOC on behalf of the corresponding ITF. In these cases, the ITF will provide the SOC with processing software, documentation, maintenance, and data validation as needed. Producing these data at the SOC will allow for fast turnaround time of the products that the other ITFs depend on.	Beginning as soon as possible but no later than 6 months after commissioning, Level-2 products will be routinely produced within 30 days of science telemetry receipt from each spacecraft. Further, since all teams depend on both ASPOC and magnetometer data, it is expected that ASPOC and magnetometer data products will be available within 24 hours of the raw data being received at the SOC.

Data Level	Responsible Team	Availability Schedule
Level-3	Identification of Level 3 products and responsibility for generating these products is TBD and will be described in the <i>MMS-SMART Instrument Suite Science Data Analysis Support and Data Products Plan</i> .	Beginning as soon as possible but no later than 6 months after commissioning, Level-3 products will be produced within TBD days of science telemetry receipt from all 4 spacecraft.

**Table 5-1: Timeline for Availability of MMS-SMART Data Products**

The SOC will maintain an active archive of all MMS data levels, and will provide direct access to the MMS science team and science community through the entire lifecycle of the MMS mission, beginning with management of telemetry data during observatory I&T. As will be documented in the *MMS Mission Archive Plan* (written after launch), following the operational phase of the mission MMS data is expected to continue to be made available to the science community through an MMS Resident Archive, possibly the MMS SDC, to maintain continuity. Once it is no longer cost effective, the Resident Archive is expected to transfer MMS data holdings to a Final Archive (possibly the SPDF), which will provide long-term preservation.

## 5.2. Data Acquisition

The MMS scientific questions require high-time-resolution measurements within boundary regions that often comprise only a small part of the MMS orbit. In the subsolar reconnection region, for example, high time resolution observations are needed for ~10 minute periods as the spacecraft cross the magnetopause regions, but only moderate time resolutions in the surrounding regions. Within the ion and electron diffusion regions, electron distributions at ~30 ms resolution are needed as well as electric and magnetic wave-form captures with resolution to 1-10 ms. The requirements in the magnetotail reconnection region are somewhat less stringent. To accommodate these different data acquisition needs while keeping within telemetry downlink limits; the SMART Instrument Suites will generate two science data streams, Burst and Survey.


The Survey data stream is collected nearly continuously. When the spacecraft are not in or near targeted regions of scientific interest (about half of each orbit), data is collected in "Slow Survey" mode. In this mode, the instruments will acquire data at significantly less than maximum data collection rates. As the spacecraft approach targeted regions of scientific interest (the remaining half of each orbit), the instruments will be configured in "Fast Survey" mode and will acquire data at moderate time resolution. All of the data collected in survey mode is expected to be downlinked.

The Burst data stream is collected concurrently with the collection of Fast Survey data. Burst data are high time resolution, continuous data sets. At this resolution, the SMART IS will produce scientific measurements many times faster than the orbit-averaged telemetry rate. Since it will not be practical to downlink all of the burst data and since only a small fraction of the collected burst data are expected to be essential anyway, a buffer selection scheme will be used to prioritize burst data for downlink. This scheme employs onboard algorithms to determine Cycle Data Quality factors (CDQs), which provide a quantitative measure of each burst buffer's data values. The CDQs are much smaller than the data they represent and are easily transmitted to the ground. Once at the SOC, the CDQs will be used to determine the periods of time that have the most valuable concurrent burst data on all 4 spacecraft.

This scheme focuses data collection on the most interesting parts of the orbit, helps to ensure that the best synchronous data is downlinked from all 4 spacecraft, and optimizes the 96 GB of on-board storage provided by the Central Instrument Data Processor (CIDP). For a detailed description of the burst buffer selection system, see the *Burst Algorithm Definition and Concept of Operations* (10160.17-BADCO-01).

**5.3. MOC ↔ POC Data Transfers**

In order to support operations of the MMS Instrument Suites and capture and processing of science data, data and information exchanges between the MOC and the POC are necessary. The principal transfers are illustrated in Figure 5-1. Interfaces pertaining to science-related data flows are emphasized in this document; operations-related data flows are further described in the *MMS Concept of Operations* (461-PROJ-PLAN-0071), and are also indicated in Figure 5-1 and Figure 5-2.



**Figure 5-1: Key data flows between the MMS MOC and POC**

All MMS telemetry data (spacecraft and instrument housekeeping and science) are captured by the MMS MOC, and subsequently relayed or transferred to the POC. Immediately following a recorder dump pass, the MOC will forward all captured spacecraft and instrument telemetry files to the SOC/POC. Only minimal Level-0 processing and accounting is required, as the data are transferred in the reliable file mode of the CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP). All spacecraft, instrument, and ancillary data will be transferred to the SOC/POC on an ongoing basis for archival and distribution; however, spacecraft data will also be maintained in the MOC for the operational duration of the mission. The communications links used to support transfers between the MOC, SOC, and other ground system elements are documented in the *MMS MOC-SOC ICD*. Transfers between the SOC and other ground system sites (e.g. ITFs, science community) will use the Internet.

The MOC will transfer all real-time spacecraft and engineering telemetry to the SOC/POC using a private operational network, which is identified in the Project Service Level Agreement (PSLA). The MOC will transfer all IS recorded telemetry files directly to the SOC/POC as it is received to allow the SOC to manage these data.


In addition to telemetry data transfers to the SOC, ancillary data will be provided by the FDOA to the SOC via the MOC. The frequency and format of these data is documented in the *MOC/FDOA-SOC ICD*. These data will include:

- Predicted orbit ephemerides

- Definitive orbit ephemerides
- Definitive spin axis attitude - A definitive spin axis attitude file will be computed from the downlinked spacecraft playback telemetry files and provided post-pass
- Definitive spin rate/spin phase angle
- Maneuver history

**5.4. POC ↔ Instrument Team Transfers**


The POC will make available several data products to the ITFs to support operations of their instruments, including real-time telemetry data, contact schedules, planned activity summaries, and data availability notifications and quality reports. Similarly, operations support products and information will be provided by ITFs to the POC, including detailed instrument state-of-health assessments, special planning requests, and any necessary special uploads (e.g. flight software updates). The principal data products transferred over this interface are indicated in Figure 5-2. The timelines for delivery of these products and formats used are documented in the *MMS SOC-ITF ICD*.



**Figure 5-2: POC-ITF Data Transfers**

**5.5. SDC ↔ Instrument Team Transfers**

The interface between the SDC and the ITFs is designed to facilitate production, management, and dissemination of science data products. Low-level telemetry data, ancillary data, and Quicklook data will be generated at the SOC and made available to the ITFs for generation of higher-level science products. Key data exchanges are indicated in Figure 5-3.



**Figure 5-3: SDC-ITF Data Transfers**

Within one day of acquisition, ITFs will receive notification of the availability of Level-0 and Level-1 data. Each ITF will then initiate transfer from the SDC of the telemetry and ancillary data needed for Level-2 production and data validation. Each ITF will then return Level-2 science data for their instrument to the SDC within 30 days. Level-2 data will be in physical units for all appropriate quantities, based on best current calibration factors and analysis routines. Reprocessing of Level-2 data can occur throughout the operational mission if significant advances in instrument calibration and understanding warrant the production of a new data version. Version control systems will be utilized by the SDC and the ITFs to ensure that changes in calibration and reprocessing of Level-2 data are tracked and are transparent to the scientific user receiving data from the SDC. Modeling data from the SMART theory efforts will also be treated as Level-2 data and will, after validation, be maintained and disseminated by the SDC.

## 5.6. Science Data Production

In addition to raw telemetry and other ancillary data that are needed to support science processing by the ITFs, there are a number of interdependencies between instrument processing algorithms. Data products that other teams depend on (e.g. Level 2 magnetometer data) will be provided via programmer-level interfaces once that data is available at the SDC. For convenience, these interfaces will work in much the same way as the interfaces that are used to access telemetry and ancillary data. Specific data processing needs and dependencies for each of the MMS investigations are detailed in the sections below.

### 5.6.1. FIELDS

Magnetometer science data from both AFG and DFG sensors will be processed (to Level-2) by the SDC and provided to other ITFs for all scientific uses of the DC magnetic field. The FIELDS magnetometer team will design and supervise this production. This Level-2 Product will be a preliminary version that will be produced on-demand within hours of data receipt, using an epoch-based set of calibration offset and matrices, with best available attitude information. These offset and matrices will be refined over a

period of 30 days and allow the production of a higher-fidelity Level 3 product that can be used for publication purposes. The highest fidelity calibration data requires joint processing between AFG, DFG, SCM, and EDI to arrive at the final values. All other Level 2 and all Level 3 processing will be done at the FIELDS ITF.




Figure 5-4: AFG / DFG Processing Flow

For EDI, there is an existing software process, BESTARG that is rather resource intensive and produces field and flow vectors for the “field” mode of EDI. Other processes, less intensive, produce time series of the electron flux, and magnetometer corrections.




Figure 5-5: EDI, SDP, SCM Bestarg Processing Flow




Figure 5-6: EDI Additional Processing Flow

FIELDS/EDI will process “Ambient mode” electron data using the L2 and L3 magnetometer data sets into a time-series of electron fluxes at one selected fixed energy (500 eV and 1000 eV), as diagrammed in Figure 5-6.

For ADP, SDP, and SCM Level 2 processing consists of offset removals and corrections of the ADP and SDP field components, spectrum adjustment for the SCM components, data quality and error determination, and spin-period fits. This production requires the use of the high-quality L3 magnetometer data. A new joint processing algorithm, BESTARG2, combines EDI and DP data for higher fidelity electric field data and will lead to the L3 diagrammed in Figure 5-5.

In addition, wave power and auto- and cross-correlation spectra are produced for the wave data.

Level 3 processing consists of a merging procedure for AFG, DFG, and SCM into a continuous data stream at 1024 vectors/sec for the magnetic field, as diagrammed in Figure 5-4. This data set is used for boundary determination and motion for reconnection intervals, and comparisons between EDI and FPI data for electron fluxes.




Figure 5-7: ADP/SDP Processing Flow

5.6.2.EPD

Figure 5-8 shows the flow of data and institutional responsibilities for the EPD data generation and processing functions. Primary data dependencies include accurate knowledge of the orientations of the EIS and FEEPS fields of view with respect to the local magnetic field vector and with respect to the Earth and Solar System coordinates, and accurate timing information between the EIS and FEEPS sampling (It is important that FEEPS sector “n” be accurately aligned with the very same EIS sector “n”). The EIS data are compressed on the spacecraft in two ways, and both types of compression must be inverted on the ground. Specifically, the EIS rate data are log-compressed from 16 or 24 bits to 10 bits. This compression is standard for particle detectors, but does generate loss of information. The error in intensity introduced by this process is < 2%. Secondly, a lossless compression algorithm is applied to data records. The FEEPS data is also log-compressed, but to 12 bits, for an error of < 1%. Figure 5-8 shows that the FEEPS data will be validated by Aerospace (light orange box; with help from Boston University, now University of New Hampshire), and the EIS data will be validated by APL, also with help from BU/University of New Hampshire (dark orange box). Standard particle detector plotting tools will be generated, including intensity versus time line plots, energy versus time versus intensity color spectrograms, pitch angle versus time versus intensity spectrograms, all-sky direction (2D) versus intensity displays, energy spectrum displays and pitch angle distributions displays.




Figure 5-8: EPD Processing Flow

5.6.3.FPI

FPI Level-1 data products are produced using decoded and decompressed Level-0 data, plus current calibration and any ancillary data. The compression is done in hardware using a wavelet-based technique that ranges from essentially lossless to lossy, with a compression ratio varying accordingly. On orbit trades will be made between burst data duration and velocity resolution, based on available telemetry

allocation and experience, to assure overall data quality while maximizing the duration of telemetered high time resolution burst data. The result is fully time/energy/orientation-referenced (in spacecraft coordinates for bursts, de-spun spacecraft coordinates for survey products), associating a count (or "pixel") with the correct time, energy, and orientation (the one at which it was measured).

FPI Quicklook products include both the calibrated "flux skymaps" from Level-1 (all modes are available, but the results are provisional, particularly for burst-maps; ITF may re-process), and provisional moments (generated for fast-survey-mode data from the Level-1 product) which may use spacecraft coordinates (albeit de-spun), and which incorporate very few corrections and quality measures applied at Level-2.

Level-2 (and beyond) products use physical units and standard coordinate systems, include all relevant (standards-compliant) metadata, and will only be submitted to the SOC after being certified "research grade" upon passing a set of ITF-devised quality tests (employing the best quality available B-field, spacecraft potential, FPI calibration data, reference densities from other instruments, etc.).

The basic set of moments consists of: density, velocity, temperature, pressure, and heat-flux parameters. Added to these moments will be the associated uncertainties and some ancillary data, including spacecraft potential and "sun-sector". Electron and ion distributions are phase-space-density (s/c-potential-corrected  $f(\mathbf{v})$ ) representations of instrument counts. The Level-2 products are the native-resolution moments and canonical distributions (all modes, supporting any portrayals) for both DES (electrons) and DIS (ions).

Level-3 (derived from Level-2) products will follow the same content and quality standards as Level-2. These may be as simple as re-sampled FPI products, or may be the result of merging FPI products with those of other instrument-suites.

A representation of FPI data processing flow is depicted in Figure 5-9.




Figure 5-9: FPI Processing Flow

FPI data will be organized and interpreted using data on the magnetic and electric fields. Data quality and validation will be accomplished prior to launch using calibration data and internal signal stimulation. This

method will also allow FPI to support overall validation of the mission burst data system, in conjunction with similar artificial data from other instruments.

FPI analysis tools will be provided for both diverse plotting methods as well as ASCII text (and various other useful formats) file dumps of any specified data array. In addition, all FPI algorithms, for example those used to compute moments, will be version controlled but open to inspection and comment by anyone using the FPI data set. This combination of analysis tools will provide the basis for development of additional tools of arbitrary sophistication limited only by the capabilities and resources of the interested investigator.

**5.6.4.HPCA**


HPCA data are compressed using a combination of table driven decimation together with a lossless compression step. Reversal of the lossless compression yields Level-0 data.

Level-1 data products consist of counting rates as function of energy, elevation, azimuth, mass/charge and time-of-flight. Gain and background data will be generated.

Quicklook data products consist of particle flux as a function of energy and ion species. Flux data will be formatted as time vs. energy spectrograms integrated over elevation and azimuthal angles. There will be one spectrogram per species for H+, He+, He++, and O+ ions.

Level-2 data products will be calibrated and background-corrected and provided for four ion species (H+, He+, He++, and O+) Data products for these species consist of: energy fluxes, velocity distribution functions, pitch angle distributions, and moments (density, velocity, and temperature).

Level-3 data products will be similar to level-2 but may have been resampled and combined with measurements from other MMS instruments.



**Figure 5-10: HPCA Processing Flow**

### 5.6.5.ASPOC


ASPOC data from both ASPOC instruments on each observatory will be processed (to Level-2) by the SDC and provided to other ITFs for all scientific uses of the ion beam current and energy. The ASPOC team will design and supervise this production.

Level-2 products include the current and voltage of the ion beam emitted by ASPOC using physical units. The processing consists of applying the current calibration, filtering, data quality and error determination. Data quality parameters will be based on numerical analysis of the data (e.g., noise, presence of spikes). No compression will be used.

As ASPOC is an active instrument, which influences the environment for other measurements, it is proposed to interpret this quality parameter (or to provide an additional quality parameter or flag) to describe the effectiveness of the control of the spacecraft potential. This parameter may be used by other ITFs together with the ASPOC L2 data to assess any cross-effect with other data.

Validation of the data will be made by visual inspection of the data and by regular plausibility checks between the ASPOC L2 products on the one hand and spacecraft potential and plasma parameters on the other hand via spacecraft charging models.

Visualization software to facilitate the manual validation will be provided, which will deliver custom line plots of relevant parameters and support the plausibility checks mentioned before.



**Figure 5-11: ASPOC Processing Flow**

### 5.7. Science Analysis and News Reports

The SDC will report MMS related science news and data analysis summaries on the MMS SDC website. These news items may include descriptions of solar events, magnetospheric phenomenon, and MMS data highlights (such as reconnection events) as reported by the MMS science team.

### 5.8. Additional Data Flows

The general science community will be able to access MMS science data products (Quicklook, Level 2, and Level 3) via a publicly accessible website, which will be maintained and hosted at the SDC. The SDC will also make these data products available via a programmer level interface, which will support automated processing and analysis systems at other institutions as well as the retrieval needs of Virtual Observatories. In addition, it is expected that appropriate MMS data will be made available through existing SPDF multi-mission data services such as CDAWeb and orbit services such as SSCWeb to further enable multi-mission science studies using MMS data and to more easily use data from other missions to establish the larger context for given MMS observations.

Public users will be able to access data at the SDC website but may be better served by the EPO website hosted at Rice University. The EPO team at Rice plans to make high-level MMS science easily accessible to journalists, teachers, and students through animations, data visualizations, workshops, and sonifications.

## 6. CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT / QA

The MMS SMART team is responsible for the production, analysis, and timely delivery of high-quality science data to the MMS Project. This is a standard expectation of NASA missions; however, the complexity of the MMS mission demands additional attention to software and data quality assurance strategies by both the SDC and the ITFs. With five science investigations onboard each of its four spacecraft, MMS will produce dozens of data products with many interdependencies. Data management challenges include:

- Software testing, data validation, defect tracking and data versioning will be complicated by the fact that there are multiple investigations and multiple geographically dispersed teams.
- Calibration data will be unique for each of the sensors. This data and its effect on Level-1, Level-2, and Level-3 (Mission Level Data) dependencies will need to be carefully tracked.
- Changes in any of the Level-1 or Level-2 data products will require re-validating and re-releasing all affected software and data products. Affected data may include Level-1 data, Level-2 data, software products (e.g. “calibrators”, analysis tools), and Mission Level Data products (Level-3).
- With dozens of data products, analysis tools, and on-the-fly data production tools (e.g. “calibrators”), staying informed of version updates may be challenging to users.

To address these challenges and ensure that the MMS project delivers correct, validated, and timely science data to the user community, a configuration management system is expected to be employed at each contributing institution for all of its science products, the data those products depend on (e.g. calibration data), and the software that is used to produce, distribute, and analyze them. Minimum configuration management will include versioning systems, release control procedures, issue/defect tracking, and documentation. Together, these configuration management systems and practices will maintain a complete pedigree for each data product produced, and should also facilitate data reproducibility.

### 6.1. Information Preservation and Backup

As systems at the ITFs are not directly part of the Mission Archive at the SDC, adequate security and backup and recovery mechanisms must be established at each ITF, if not already present. Backup and recovery mechanisms should be documented along with contingency plans for ensuring a full recovery from catastrophic damage or failure. At a minimum this will include offline and offsite backup of all irreplaceable information, including software, calibration data, and other system dependencies.

### 6.2. Versioning

Each investigation has the responsibility of maintaining both an issue tracking and a version control system for its data production software, processing environment, analysis tools, data products and static calibration data. Two types of version tracking will be employed in order to track revisions to both software and data products produced by software. These two types of versioning are described in the following sections.

#### 6.2.1. Software Versioning

Teams are expected to use a source code management system for tracking code changes and other processing and data handling system dependencies (e.g. static calibration data). It is imperative that both software and calibration data are similarly revision controlled, and that the revision repository is backed up regularly and maintained off-site, as described in Section 6.1.

### ***6.2.2.Data Versioning***

Users should be able to count on data of a specified version being consistent. This means that if anything changes in the processing system that produces a different data result, the version of that data product will be incremented. Specifically:

- Changes to software or calibration data such that science results change for a specific time range indicates that the version number of the science data must change.
- When the version changes for a product that other products depend upon, the version must be incremented for the dependant products as well.

For clarity and consistency, version numbers are expected to be included in data product file names. In the case that a team distributes a software calibrator rather than data files, the calibrator must clearly indicate to the user which version of the data the calibrator produces. A consistent version numbering scheme will be determined by Ground Segment CDR and documented in the *MMS-SMART Instrument Suite Science Data Analysis Support and Data Products Plan*.

## **6.3. Release Control**

### ***6.3.1.Validation***

Ensuring the quality of the MMS science data products requires two types of validation efforts: that occurring prior to the production of data products (pre-production) and that which occurs after data products have been produced (post-production). Pre-production validation includes design reviews of algorithms and software that will be used to produce and analyze data products. Production validation is best achieved through science data analysis using the archive products. All new version releases will be validated by the contributing ITF and/or SDC team as appropriate, with the validation results being published and/or made available from the SDC-resident MMS data website.

### ***6.3.2.Release Notes***

Detailed release notes are expected to accompany all released software and data. At a minimum, release notes should include the following information:

- Identification of the release (name, date, version number)
- Data product dependencies and corresponding versions.
- Requirements (required third-party platforms/modules/packages/etc)
- Features and changes (new features, defects corrected, etc)
- Outstanding issues (unresolved defects, work-arounds, installation issues, known issues, etc)
- Installation guide (if applicable)

### ***6.3.3.New Release Notifications***

In order to make sure that the *best* data products and tools are easily available for research and analysis, users will need an easy way to be informed when new data versions and analysis tools become available. Strategies employed by the SDC will include:

- Currently available version numbers will be clearly indicated for all data and software products on the MMS SDC website.
- Version Release Notes for all data and software products will also be clearly available through the MMS SDC website.
- New Release notifications will be automatically provided (e.g. via email) to users who opt-in for such notifications.

- Software products that are made available to the science community (e.g. analysis tools, “calibrators”) are expected to include a mechanism to notify users when a newer version is available.

The SDC is expected to work with applicable Virtual Observatories (e.g. Virtual Magnetospheric Observatory) to ensure that VxO users are also notified when new releases are available.


#### **6.4. Defect Tracking**

A Defect Tracking System is expected to be established at the SDC and each ITF for tracking issues related to data products, data production software, and analysis tools. This system must attach a tracking number to all reported problems and allow for status changes (e.g. open/closed), the addition of comments, and filtered views (e.g. status, date reported, tags, etc). These systems are expected to be used for internal tracking at each ITF and need not be available for reporting by outside users.

Users from the general science community will be encouraged to report any issues they might encounter through a web-based problem reporting system, which is expected to be implemented at the SDC and be available through the MMS data access website. The system is expected to guide users in the creation of effective problem reports, which will include user system details, activities causing problems, terminal output showing any error details, optional contact details, etc. These problem reports will have a tracking number assigned to them and will be communicated to the relevant instrument team(s) and the SDC manager for tracking and follow-up as needed.

### **7. DATA ACCESS AND AVAILABILITY**

There are no proprietary periods associated with any of the MMS-SMART data products, and users will have timely access to the scientifically useful products (Levels 2+). Additionally, users will have access to science data analysis tools that aid in data access and analysis. To help ensure that the most recent data and software are easily available, access is centralized at the SDC. The SDC will provide access to data and analysis tools via web-based interfaces and will also support data access through applicable Virtual Observatories. An overview of associated data flows is illustrated in Figure 7-1.



**Figure 7-1: MMS-SMART Public Data Access**

The MMS science data system is designed to fully exploit the simultaneous measurements from all four MMS spacecraft. In close coordination with the MMS science groups, visualization tools will be developed and provided at the SOC that are tailored for close association with modeling efforts and the broader science community. A timeline for MMS data availability is given in Table 5-1

**7.1. Science Data**

The SDC will make available Quicklook, Level-2, and Level-3 science data to the science community and general public. Instrument teams will provide the SDC with software to produce Quicklook data products and Level-2 data files for archival and distribution and, in some cases, software ‘calibrators’ that will allow users to produce Level-2 data on the fly.

In order to most effectively index, store, and distribute the MMS-SMART data, instrument teams are expected to provide the SDC with detailed documentation and metadata for each of their products. Specific metadata and documentation requirements will be defined in the *MMS SDC-ITF ICD*. In part, these documentation and metadata requirements will support the Space Physics Archive Search and Exchange (SPASE) data model so that the SDC can readily provide access to the data through Virtual Observatories. The SDC is responsible for identifying and collaborating with applicable Virtual Observatories to ensure that MMS data will be accessible through these channels.

Additionally, the SDC is expected to maintain a record of spacecraft locations, instrument operating parameters, and version history notes for each data product and provide access to these data via its data access website.

### 7.2. Engineering Data

Spacecraft and instrument suite housekeeping/engineering data will be provided to MMS team members and other designated individuals or organizations on a restricted basis.

### 7.3. Data Analysis Software

In coordination with the MMS science team, the SDC will provide software tools to allow display, manipulation, and analysis of MMS data. Analysis tools that are unique to a specific dataset will be developed by appropriate science team members and delivered to the SDC along with documentation for using the tools. These tools will be made available to the science community via the SDC's data access website.

## 8. DATA ARCHIVAL

The SOC will serve as the Mission Archive during the MMS mission, having responsibility for archiving all MMS mission data, and will help plan and provide for subsequent archive phases prior to mission termination. Resources archived at the SOC will include:

- Data – raw instrument and spacecraft telemetry (housekeeping and science); calibration data; ephemerides; attitude and other ancillary data needed to support scientific use and interpretation of MMS data; all levels of science data products.
- Metadata and Documentation describing data products (e.g. in SPASE terms), algorithms, instrument calibrations, validation, and data quality; also, command and telemetry definition information, descriptions of spacecraft and instrument design and operations, status reports, and other information needed for use of MMS data.
- Software and analysis tools, including software used for generation of scientific data products and corresponding metadata, as well as tools used for accessing, visualizing, and interpreting MMS science data.

Beginning with the observatory Integration and Test (I&T) phase and extending through launch, the SOC will collect and archive MMS data, principally telemetry data generated during ground testing and calibration activities. Following launch, as instruments are commissioned and begin routine science data collection, the SOC will become actively responsible for data production and distribution activities and the archival function associated with management of science data sets. Throughout the mission, the SOC will ensure that adequate security and backup/recovery mechanisms are established and documented, thereby maintaining the integrity of all data managed in the SOC repository and safeguarding them against loss. As responsibility for data processing and analysis activities is a distributed function on the MMS mission, each MMS-SMART instrument team will also share responsibility for maintaining the integrity of the MMS data during the active mission. The SOC and all Instrument Team Facilities (ITFs) will establish backup/recovery mechanisms and will document contingency plans for achieving a full recovery from catastrophic damage or failure. At a minimum, this will include offsite backup of raw telemetry, documentation/metadata, analysis software, and any software that would be needed to reprocess, index, store, and distribute MMS data and analysis tools.

A *Mission Archive Plan* (MAP) will be drafted by the SOC prior to the first Senior Review that will guide the preparation of the final MMS archive. After the mission ends, MMS data, analysis tools, and the expertise to use them will remain accessible through the Resident Archive. The Resident Archive may

remain a SOC responsibility, thereby ensuring continuity of MMS data access mechanisms and tools to the scientific community. At some point after mission termination, once maintaining the Resident Archive is no longer cost effective, the physical data will pass to a long-term archival facility determined in collaboration between the MMS program and the SPDF.

## 9. DATA RIGHTS AND RULES FOR DATA USE

The terms for distribution and use of the MMS data products are specified in the *NASA Heliophysics Science Data Management Policy* document, and are summarized here in terms of the MMS mission. These guidelines govern both the production and distribution of scientific data sets by the MMS program, and also use of the MMS data by the science community and general public, and are summarized below:

- MMS-SMART data products are open to all scientists and the public (Users).
- There are no proprietary periods associated with any of the MMS-SMART data products.
- Users shall have timely access to the scientifically useful data and analysis tools that are equivalent to the level that the MMS-SMART science team uses.
- Users should contact the MMS-SMART Principal Investigator (PI) or a designated lead investigator of an instrument or modeling group early in an analysis project to discuss the appropriate use of instrument data or model results. This applies to MMS-SMART team members, guest investigators, other members of the scientific community, and general public.
- Users that wish to publish the results derived from MMS data should normally offer co-authorship to the MMS-SMART PI and/or instrument PIs and science team members. Co-authorship may be declined.
- Users should heed the caveats of investigators as to the interpretation and limitations of data or model results. Investigators supplying data or models may insist that such caveats be published, even if co-authorship is declined. Data and model version numbers should also be specified.
- Users should acknowledge the sources of data used in all publications, presentations, and reports. Appropriate acknowledgement to institutions, personnel, and funding agencies should be given.
- Users are encouraged to provide the MMS-SMART PI and/or instrument PIs a copy of each manuscript that uses MMS-SMART data upon submission of that manuscript for consideration of publication. On publication the citation should be transmitted to the PI and any other providers of data.
- Pre-prints of publications and conference abstracts should be widely distributed to interested parties within the mission and related projects.
- Users are encouraged to make tools of general utility and/or value-added data products widely available to the community. Users are encouraged to notify the MMS-SMART PI of such utilities or products. The User should also clearly label the product as being different from the original MMS-SMART produced data product.

## APPENDIX A - TABLE OF ACRONYMS

Acronym	Description
ADP	Axial Double Probe electric field instrument, part of FIELDS investigation
AFG	Analog Flux Gate Magnetometer, part of FIELDS investigation
APL	Applied Physics Laboratory
ARB	Anomaly Review Board
ASPOC	Active Spacecraft Potential Control
ATS	Absolute Time Sequence command
BADCO	Burst Algorithm Definition and Concept of Operations
CCSDS	Consultative Committee on Space Data Systems
CDF	Common Data Format
CDQ	Cycle Data Quality (burst buffer quality indicator calculated onboard each s/c)
CDR	Critical Design Review
CFDP	CCSDS File Delivery Protocol
CIDP	Central Instrument Data Processor
DES	Dual Electron Spectrometer, part of FPI investigation
DFG	Digital Flux Gate Magnetometer, part of FIELDS investigation
DIS	Dual Ion Spectrometer, part of FPI investigation
DSN	Deep Space Network
EDI	Electron Drift Instrument, part of FIELDS investigation
EIS	Energetic Ion Spectrometer, part of EPD investigation
EPD	Energetic Particle Detector System
EPO	Educational and Public Outreach
FDOA	Flight Dynamics Operations Area
FEEPS	Fly's Eye Energetic Particle Sensor, part of EPD investigation
FPI	Fast Plasma Instrument
GSFC	Goddard Spaceflight Center
HPCA	Hot Plasma Composition Analyzer
HSD	Heliospheric Science Division
ICD	Interface Control Document
IDPU	Instrument Data Processor Unit (FPI)
IDS	Interdisciplinary Scientists
IS	Instrument Suite
ITF	Instrument Team Facility

Acronym	Description
IWF	Institut für Weltraumforschung (Space Research Institute of the Austrian Academy of Sciences)
JHU	Johns Hopkins University
L2	Level 2 Science (used to refer to data products and/or the software used to create Level 2 science products)
L3	Level 3 Science (used to refer to data products and/or the software used to create Level 3 science products)
LASP	Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics
LF	Low Frequency
MAP	Mission Archive Plan
MF	Medium Frequency
MLD	Mission Level Data (Level 3)
MMS	Magnetospheric Multiscale
MOC	Mission Operations Center
MRD	Mission Requirements Document
NAV	Navigation
PDMP	Project Data Management Plan
PDR	Preliminary Design Review
PLSA	Project Level Service Agreement
POC	Payload Operations Center (located at the SOC)
QA	Quality Assurance
RA	Resident Archive
$R_E$	Unit of measure: 1 Earth Radius
ROI	Region of (science) Interest, defined as geocentric apogee distances $> 9 R_E$ for Phase 1, and $> 15 R_E$ for Phase 2.
RTS	Relative Time Sequence commands
SCM	Search Coil Magnetometer, part of FIELDS investigation
SDC	Science Data Center (located at the SOC)
SDP	Spin-plane Double Probe (part of FIELDS investigation)
SMART	Solving Magnetospheric Acceleration Reconnection and Turbulence
SOC	Science Operations Center
SPASE	Space Physics Archive Search and Exchange
SPDF	Space Physics Data Facility
STP	Solar Terrestrial Probes
SWG	Science Working Group
SwRI	Southwest Research Institute
TBC	To be Confirmed

---

<b>Acronym</b>	<b>Description</b>
TBD	To be Determined
TDRSS	Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System
UNH	University of New Hampshire
USN	Universal Space Network
VMO	Virtual Magnetospheric Observatory
VxO	Virtual Observatory